

PUBLIC COMMENTS FOR IBR COMMUNITY ADVISORY GROUP

Received between October 10th and November 7th, 2023

Comment Received: 11/7/2023

From: Frank Pacosa

Email Subject: CAG Public Comment

Attachment Included: No

**Please note that the information shared in the comment below were not approved or endorsed by the IBR program*

I strongly support an Interstate Tunnel instead of bridge. The bridge design with it's height that leads to a step decrease unlike the 205 bridge will cause multiple collisions and loss of life in bad weather.

The design process for the bridge has been hampered by false estimations of the amount of fill that needs to be reclaimed.

Drilling piers into the River bottom will be hampered Salmon passage windows that a tunnel placement will not be affected by.

The tunnel is cheaper and more resistant to earthquake damage.

The amount of freeway concrete construction (and global warming effect) is greater with the bridge instead of the tunnel.

Why is there not more open discussion of a tunnel than a bridge with this many negative factors associated with the suicide bridge.

Frank Pacosa
Happy Valley, Oregon
503 888 5898