

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

**SUMMARY..... S-1**

**1. PURPOSE AND NEED.....1-1**

1.1 Importance of the I-5 Corridor and the Interstate Bridge..... 1-1

1.2 Confirming the I-5 Columbia River Crossing Project’s Purpose and Need..... 1-1

1.3 Purpose and Need for the IBR Program..... 1-3

    1.3.1 Program Purpose..... 1-3

    1.3.2 Program Needs ..... 1-4

1.4 Compliance with NEPA Regulations ..... 1-7

1.5 Vision and Values..... 1-9

1.6 Draft SEIS Public Comment Period..... 1-9

1.7 Next Steps..... 1-10

**2. DESCRIPTION OF ALTERNATIVES.....2-1**

2.1 Introduction..... 2-1

2.2 Components of the Modified LPA ..... 2-5

    2.2.1 Interstate 5 Mainline ..... 2-9

    2.2.2 Portland Mainland and Hayden Island (Subarea A) ..... 2-14

    2.2.3 Columbia River Bridges (Subarea B) ..... 2-23

    2.2.4 Downtown Vancouver (Subarea C) ..... 2-43

    2.2.5 Upper Vancouver (Subarea D) ..... 2-51

    2.2.6 Transit Support Facilities ..... 2-54

    2.2.7 Transit Operating Characteristics ..... 2-58

    2.2.8 Tolling ..... 2-61

    2.2.9 Transportation System- and Demand-Management Measures..... 2-63

    2.2.10 Off-site Mitigation Sites ..... 2-64

2.3 Modified LPA Construction ..... 2-66

    2.3.1 Construction Components, Packaging Plan, and Duration ..... 2-66

    2.3.2 Potential Staging Sites and Casting Yards..... 2-70

2.4 No-Build Alternative ..... 2-70

2.5 Development of the Modified LPA ..... 2-71

    2.5.1 Selected Alternative in the 2011 Record of Decision and Subsequent Modifications  
in 2012 to 2013..... 2-71

    2.5.2 Updating the CRC LPA ..... 2-72

2.5.3	IBR Program Design Option Development and Screening.....	2-84
2.5.4	IBR Program’s Adoption of Foundational Components of the Modified LPA.....	2-94
2.6	Additional Compliance.....	2-95
2.6.1	Compliance Activities Completed Since Draft SEIS.....	2-95
2.6.2	Compliance Activities Underway .....	2-96
2.7	Anticipated Permits and Approvals .....	2-98
<b>3.</b>	<b>EXISTING CONDITIONS AND ENVIRONMENTAL CONSEQUENCES.....</b>	<b>3-1</b>
3.1	Transportation .....	3.1-1
3.1.1	Changes and New Information Since 2013 .....	3.1-8
3.1.2	Existing Conditions .....	3.1-8
3.1.3	Long-Term Reasonably Foreseeable Effects .....	3.1-26
3.1.4	Temporary Reasonably Foreseeable Effects .....	3.1-73
3.1.5	Intentionally Left Blank .....	3.1-76
3.1.6	Avoidance, Minimization, and Mitigation Measures.....	3.1-76
3.2	Navigation.....	3.2-1
3.2.1	Changes or New Information Since 2013.....	3.2-1
3.2.2	Existing Conditions .....	3.2-2
3.2.3	Long-Term Reasonably Foreseeable Effects .....	3.2-8
3.2.4	Temporary Reasonably Foreseeable Effects .....	3.2-14
3.2.5	Intentionally Left Blank .....	3.2-15
3.2.6	Avoidance, Minimization, and Mitigation Measures.....	3.2-15
3.3	Property Acquisitions and Displacements .....	3.3-1
3.3.1	Changes or New Information Since 2013.....	3.3-1
3.3.2	Existing Conditions .....	3.3-1
3.3.3	Long-Term Benefits and Reasonably Foreseeable Effects.....	3.3-3
3.3.4	Temporary Reasonably Foreseeable Effects .....	3.3-16
3.3.5	Intentionally Left Blank .....	3.3-18
3.3.6	Avoidance, Minimization, and Mitigation Measures.....	3.3-18
3.4	Land Use and Economic Activity.....	3.4-1
3.4.1	Changes or New Information Since 2013.....	3.4-1
3.4.2	Existing Conditions .....	3.4-1
3.4.3	Long-Term Benefits and Reasonably Foreseeable Effects.....	3.4-17
3.4.4	Temporary Reasonably Foreseeable Effects .....	3.4-40
3.4.5	Intentionally left blank .....	3.4-43

3.4.6 Avoidance, Minimization, and Mitigation Measures..... 3.4-43

3.5 Neighborhoods and Communities ..... 3.5-1

3.5.1 Changes or New Information Since 2013..... 3.5-1

3.5.2 Existing Conditions ..... 3.5-1

3.5.3 Engagement Activities and Consultation ..... 3.5-8

3.5.4 Long-Term Benefits and Reasonably Foreseeable Effects..... 3.5-9

3.5.5 Temporary Reasonably Foreseeable Effects ..... 3.5-17

3.5.6 Intentionally Left Blank ..... 3.5-18

3.5.7 Avoidance, Minimization, and Mitigation Measures..... 3.5-18

3.6 Public Services and Utilities..... 3.6-1

3.6.1 Changes or New Information Since 2013..... 3.6-1

3.6.2 Existing Conditions ..... 3.6-1

3.6.3 Long-Term Benefits and Reasonably Foreseeable Effects..... 3.6-7

3.6.4 Temporary Reasonably Foreseeable Effects ..... 3.6-14

3.6.5 Intentionally Left Blank ..... 3.6-16

3.6.6 Avoidance, Minimization, and Mitigation Measures..... 3.6-16

3.7 Parks and Recreation ..... 3.7-1

3.7.1 Changes or New Information Since 2013..... 3.7-1

3.7.2 Existing Conditions ..... 3.7-1

3.7.3 Long-Term Benefits and Reasonably Foreseeable Effects..... 3.7-5

3.7.4 Temporary Reasonably Foreseeable Effects ..... 3.7-21

3.7.5 Intentionally Left Blank ..... 3.7-25

3.7.6 Avoidance, Minimization, and Mitigation Measures..... 3.7-25

3.8 Cultural Resources ..... 3.8-1

3.8.1 Changes or New Information Since 2013..... 3.8-5

3.8.2 Existing Conditions ..... 3.8-6

3.8.3 Direct Effects ..... 3.8-27

3.8.4 Indirect Effects ..... 3.8-51

3.8.5 Program Planning to Avoid or Minimize Effects to Cultural Resources..... 3.8-51

3.8.6 Mitigation and Programmatic Agreement..... 3.8-53

3.9 Visual Quality..... 3.9-1

3.9.1 Changes or New Information Since 2013..... 3.9-1

3.9.2 Existing Conditions ..... 3.9-1

3.9.3 Long-Term Benefits and Reasonably Foreseeable Effects..... 3.9-5

- 3.9.4 Temporary Reasonably Foreseeable Effects ..... 3.9-27
- 3.9.5 Intentionally Left Blank ..... 3.9-28
- 3.9.6 Avoidance, Minimization, and Mitigation Measures..... 3.9-28
- 3.10 Air Quality ..... 3.10-1
  - 3.10.1 Changes or New Information Since 2013..... 3.10-1
  - 3.10.2 Existing Conditions ..... 3.10-2
  - 3.10.3 Long-Term Benefits and Reasonably Foreseeable Effects..... 3.10-7
  - 3.10.4 Temporary Reasonably Foreseeable Effects ..... 3.10-14
  - 3.10.5 Intentionally Left Blank ..... 3.10-15
  - 3.10.6 Avoidance, Minimization, and Mitigation Measures..... 3.10-15
- 3.11 Noise and Vibration ..... 3.11-1
  - 3.11.1 Changes or New Information Since 2013..... 3.11-1
  - 3.11.2 Existing Conditions ..... 3.11-1
  - 3.11.3 Long-Term Benefits and Reasonably Foreseeable Effects..... 3.11-13
  - 3.11.4 Temporary Reasonably Foreseeable Effects ..... 3.11-34
  - 3.11.5 Intentionally Left Blank ..... 3.11-39
  - 3.11.6 Avoidance, Minimization, and Mitigation Measures..... 3.11-39
- 3.12 Energy ..... 3.12-1
  - 3.12.1 Changes or New Information Since 2013..... 3.12-1
  - 3.12.2 Existing Conditions ..... 3.12-1
  - 3.12.3 Long-Term Benefits and Reasonably Foreseeable Effects..... 3.12-3
  - 3.12.4 Temporary Reasonably Foreseeable Effects ..... 3.12-8
  - 3.12.5 Intentionally Left Blank ..... 3.12-9
  - 3.12.6 Avoidance, Minimization, and Mitigation Measures..... 3.12-9
- 3.13 Electric and Magnetic Fields ..... 3.13-1
  - 3.13.1 Changes or New Information Since 2013..... 3.13-1
  - 3.13.2 Existing Conditions ..... 3.13-1
  - 3.13.3 Long-Term Benefits and Reasonably Foreseeable Effects..... 3.13-3
  - 3.13.4 Temporary Reasonably Foreseeable Effects ..... 3.13-5
  - 3.13.5 Intentionally Left Blank ..... 3.13-5
  - 3.13.6 Potential Avoidance, Minimization, Regulatory, and Mitigation Measures..... 3.13-5
- 3.14 Water Quality and Hydrology..... 3.14-1
  - 3.14.1 Changes or New Information Since 2013..... 3.14-1
  - 3.14.2 Existing Conditions ..... 3.14-2

3.14.3 Long-Term Benefits and Reasonably Foreseeable Effects..... 3.14-8

3.14.4 Temporary Reasonably Foreseeable Effects ..... 3.14-16

3.14.5 Intentionally Left Blank ..... 3.14-18

3.14.6 Avoidance, Minimization, and Mitigation Measures..... 3.14-18

3.15 Wetlands and Other Waters ..... 3.15-1

3.15.1 Changes or New Information Since 2013..... 3.15-1

3.15.2 Existing Conditions ..... 3.15-2

3.15.3 Long-Term Benefits and Reasonably Foreseeable Effects..... 3.15-8

3.15.4 Temporary Reasonably Foreseeable Effects ..... 3.15-14

3.15.5 Intentionally Left Blank ..... 3.15-16

3.15.6 Avoidance, Minimization, and Compensatory Mitigation Measures ..... 3.15-16

3.16 Ecosystems ..... 3.16-1

3.16.1 Changes or New Information Since 2013..... 3.16-1

3.16.2 Existing Conditions ..... 3.16-2

3.16.3 Long-Term Benefits and Reasonably Foreseeable Effects..... 3.16-17

3.16.4 Temporary Reasonably Foreseeable Effects ..... 3.16-29

3.16.5 Intentionally Left Blank ..... 3.16-37

3.16.6 Avoidance, Minimization, and Mitigation Measures..... 3.16-37

3.17 Geology and Groundwater ..... 3.17-1

3.17.1 Changes or New Information Since 2013..... 3.17-1

3.17.2 Existing Conditions ..... 3.17-1

3.17.3 Long-Term Benefits and Reasonably Foreseeable Effects..... 3.17-4

3.17.4 Temporary Reasonably Foreseeable Effects ..... 3.17-8

3.17.5 Intentionally Left Blank ..... 3.17-10

3.17.6 Avoidance, Minimization, and Mitigation Measures..... 3.17-10

3.18 Hazardous Materials..... 3.18-1

3.18.1 Changes or New Information Since 2013..... 3.18-1

3.18.2 Existing Conditions ..... 3.18-1

3.18.3 Long-Term Benefits and Reasonably Foreseeable Effects..... 3.18-19

3.18.4 Temporary Reasonably Foreseeable Effects ..... 3.18-24

3.18.5 Intentionally Left Blank ..... 3.18-26

3.18.6 Avoidance, Minimization, and Mitigation Measures..... 3.18-26

3.19 Climate Change ..... 3.19-1

3.20 Environmental Justice ..... 3.20-1

3.21	Section 6(f) and Federal Lands to Parks .....	3.21-1
3.21.1	Changes or New Information Since 2013 .....	3.21-1
3.21.2	Relevant Laws and Regulations .....	3.21-1
3.21.3	Existing Conditions .....	3.21-2
3.21.4	Long-Term Benefits and Reasonably Foreseeable Effects.....	3.21-5
3.21.5	Temporary Reasonably Foreseeable Effects .....	3.21-12
3.21.6	Intentionally Left Blank .....	3.21-13
3.21.7	Avoidance, Minimization, and Mitigation Measures.....	3.21-13
3.22	Aviation .....	3.22-1
3.22.1	Changes or New Information Since 2013.....	3.22-1
3.22.2	Existing Conditions .....	3.22-1
3.22.3	Long-Term Benefits and Reasonably Foreseeable Effects.....	3.22-6
3.22.4	Temporary Reasonably Foreseeable Effects .....	3.22-14
3.22.5	Intentionally Left Blank .....	3.22-14
3.22.6	Avoidance, Minimization, and Mitigation Measures.....	3.22-14
<b>4.</b>	<b>SECTION 4(F) EVALUATION .....</b>	<b>4-1</b>
4.1	Introduction.....	4-1
4.1.1	Permanent Incorporation .....	4-2
4.1.2	<i>De Minimis</i> Impact.....	4-2
4.1.3	Temporary Occupancy .....	4-3
4.1.4	Constructive Use.....	4-3
4.1.5	Summary of 2011 Final Section 4(f) Findings .....	4-4
4.1.6	IBR Program Section 4(f) Evaluation.....	4-7
4.1.7	Changes since the IBR Program Draft Section 4(f) Evaluation.....	4-7
4.2	IBR Section 4(f) Properties .....	4-8
4.2.1	Section 4(f) Park and Recreation Properties in the IBR Program Study Area .....	4-8
4.2.2	Section 4(f) Historic Sites in the IBR Program Primary Study Area.....	4-19
4.3	Evaluation of Use of Section 4(f) Properties.....	4-28
4.3.1	Section Organization .....	4-28
4.3.2	Summary of Use of Park, Recreational Areas, Waterfowl Refuges by the IBR Program Modified LPA with All Design Options .....	4-28
4.3.3	Use of Section 4(f) Park and Recreation Properties (Oregon).....	4-29
4.3.4	Use of Section 4(f) Park and Recreation Properties (Washington) .....	4-35
4.3.5	Summary of Use of Historic Sites by the Modified LPA with All Design Options .....	4-59
4.3.6	Use of Section 4(f) Historic Sites (Oregon).....	4-65

4.3.7 Interstate..... 4-77

4.3.8 Use of Section 4(f) Historic Sites (Washington) ..... 4-81

4.4 Avoidance Alternatives..... 4-114

4.5 Measures to Minimize Harm..... 4-117

4.5.1 Minimizing Harm to Resources in Oregon ..... 4-120

4.5.2 Minimizing Harm to the Northbound Interstate Bridge..... 4-122

4.5.3 Minimizing Harm to Resources in Washington ..... 4-123

4.6 Least Overall Harm Analysis..... 4-127

4.6.1 Ability to Mitigate Adverse Impacts to Each Section 4(f) Property, Including Any Measures That Result in Benefits..... 4-129

4.6.2 The Relative Severity of the Remaining Harm after Mitigation to the Protected Activities, Attributes, or Features That Qualify Each Section 4(f) Property for Protection..... 4-130

4.6.3 Relative Significance of Each Section 4(f) Property ..... 4-131

4.6.4 Views of Officials with Jurisdiction over Each Section 4(f) Property ..... 4-132

4.6.5 Degree to Which Each Alternative Meets the Purpose and Need for the Program ..... 4-132

4.6.6 After Reasonable Mitigation, the Magnitude of Any Adverse Impacts to Resources Not Protected by Section 4(f)..... 4-135

4.6.7 Substantial Differences in Costs Among the Alternatives..... 4-138

4.6.8 Conclusion of Least Overall Harm Analysis ..... 4-138

4.7 Coordination..... 4-143

4.8 Section 4(f) Findings..... 4-144

## LIST OF APPENDICES

Appendix A: Agency and Tribal Coordination

Appendix B: Public Involvement

Appendix C: Conceptual Design Drawing

Appendix D: Design Option Development, Screening, and Evaluation

Appendix E: References

Appendix F: List of Preparers

Appendix G: List of Recipients

Appendix H: List of Technical Reports

Appendix I: Glossary

Appendix J: Index

Appendix K: IBR Recommended Design Options Report

Appendix L: List of Property Acquisitions

Appendix M: Mitigation and Commitment Matrix

Appendix N: Final Draft Section 106 Programmatic Agreement

Appendix O: Endangered Species Act Consultation

Appendix P: Section 6(f) Temporary Non-Conforming Use Document

Appendix Q: Section 4(f), Section 6(f), and Federal Lands to Parks Officials With Jurisdiction Letters

Appendix R: Tribal Geographic Context Statements

Appendix S: Draft SEIS Comments and Responses

Appendix T: Columbia River Crossing Final Environmental Impact Statement

Appendix U: Columbia River Crossing Record of Decision

# LIST OF TABLES

## Summary

Table 1. Modified LPA Design Options Studied in the Draft and Final SEIS .....	S-13
Table 2. Preliminary Construction Packaging Plan .....	S-16
Table 3. Summary of Reasonably Foreseeable Transportation Effects for the No-Build Alternative and Modified LPA and Design Options .....	S-18
Table 4. Summary of Reasonably Foreseeable Community and Environmental Effects for the No-Build Alternative and Modified LPA .....	S-23
Table 5. Summary of Avoidance, Minimization, and Mitigation Measures .....	S-38

## 1. Purpose and Need

No tables.

## 2. Description of Alternatives

Table 2-1. CRC Draft EIS Alternatives and Design Options.....	2-1
Table 2-2. Modified LPA Design Options Studied in the Draft and Final SEIS .....	2-7
Table 2-3. Summary of Bridge Configurations .....	2-38
Table 2-4. Proposed TriMet and C-TRAN Bus Route Changes.....	2-60
Table 2-5. Preliminary Construction Packaging Plan.....	2-67
Table 2-6. Changed Conditions Necessitating Modifications to the CRC LPA.....	2-73
Table 2-7. Tradeoffs and Benefits Between Hayden Island/Marine Drive Design Options 1 and 5 .....	2-85
Table 2-8. Tradeoffs and Benefits between River Crossing Design Options 1 and 3.....	2-87
Table 2-9. Representative Transit Investment Descriptions.....	2-89
Table 2-10. Summary of Transit Mode Evaluation .....	2-91
Table 2-11. Summary of Initial Auxiliary Lanes Evaluation Results.....	2-94
Table 2-12. Navigation Considerations.....	2-97
Table 2-13. Anticipated Permits and Approvals .....	2-98

## 3. Existing Conditions and Environmental Consequences

Table 3-1. Summary of Components of the Modified LPA .....	3-2
--	-----

### 3.1 Transportation

Table 3.1-1. Existing Regional Roadways in the Primary Study Area.....	3.1-11
Table 3.1-2. Regional Travel Measures –2015 Daily Vehicle Miles Traveled, Vehicle Hours Traveled, and Vehicle Hours of Delay .....	3.1-11

Table 3.1-3. Weekday AM and PM Peak-Period Bottleneck Locations When Speeds Are below 45 mph – 2019 Existing Conditions .....	3.1-15
Table 3.1-4. I-5 Average Weekday AM and PM Peak-Period Travel Times between I-205 and I-405 in North Portland – 2019 Existing Conditions.....	3.1-16
Table 3.1-5. I-5 Highway Performance for Southbound AM and PM Peak – 2019 Existing Conditions .....	3.1-18
Table 3.1-6. I-5 Highway Performance for Northbound AM and PM Peak – 2019 Existing Conditions.....	3.1-19
Table 3.1-7. Vancouver Intersections Not Meeting Agency Standards (2019 Existing Conditions) .....	3.1-22
Table 3.1-8. Portland Intersections Not Meeting Agency Standards (2019 Existing Conditions) .....	3.1-22
Table 3.1-9. Existing 2019 Average Weekday Transit Ridership .....	3.1-24
Table 3.1-10. 2045 Weekday Daily Vehicle Miles Traveled, Vehicle Hours Traveled, and Vehicle Hours of Delay.....	3.1-29
Table 3.1-11. 2045 Vancouver Screenline Traffic Volumes – AM and PM.....	3.1-33
Table 3.1-12. 2045 Portland Screenline Traffic Volumes – AM and PM.....	3.1-36
Table 3.1-13. 2045 Forecast Average Weekday Daily Traffic Volumes on I-5 and I-205.....	3.1-38
Table 3.1-14. Future-Year 2045 Average Weekday Bottleneck Summary When Speeds Are below 45 Miles per Hour .....	3.1-46
Table 3.1-15. 2045 Forecast I-5 Weekday Southbound AM Peak-Period Average Travel Times.....	3.1-52
Table 3.1-16. 2045 Forecast I-5 Weekday Southbound PM Peak-Period Average Travel Times.....	3.1-52
Table 3.1-17. 2045 Forecast I-5 Weekday Northbound AM Peak-Period Average Travel Times .....	3.1-52
Table 3.1-18. 2045 Forecast I-5 Weekday Northbound PM Peak-Period Average Travel Times .....	3.1-53
Table 3.1-19. 2015 and 2045 Average Weekday Corridor Transit Service Characteristics .....	3.1-62
Table 3.1-20. 2045 Weekday Daily Systemwide and Corridor Transit Trips .....	3.1-63
Table 3.1-21. 2045 Modified LPA with Recommended Design Options Light-Rail Transit Station Usage (Boardings and Alightings) by Mode of Access and Egress .....	3.1-64
Table 3.1-22. 2045 Average Weekday AM and PM Peak Total Transit Travel Time for Selected Corridor Locations (minutes).....	3.1-66
Table 3.1-23. 2045 Measures of Transit Reliability in the I-5 Corridor .....	3.1-67
Table 3.1-24. Avoidance and Minimization Measures .....	3.1-76
Table 3.1-25. Mitigation Measures .....	3.1-78

## 3.2 Navigation

Table 3.2-1. Widths and Depths of Federally Authorized Navigation Projects near the Interstate Bridge .....	3.2-4
Table 3.2-2. Bridge and Navigational Characteristics with Reasonably Foreseeable Long-Term Benefits and Effects .....	3.2-13
Table 3.2-3. Avoidance and Minimization Measures .....	3.2-16
Table 3.2-4. Mitigation Measures .....	3.2-17

### 3.3 Property Acquisitions and Displacements

Table 3.3-1. Summary of Permanent Property Acquisitions and Displacements for Oregon and Washington .....	3.3-7
Table 3.3-2. Summary of Permanent Property Acquisitions and Displacements for the Modified LPA in Oregon (All Design Options) .....	3.3-8
Table 3.3-3. Summary of Permanent Property Acquisitions and Displacements for the Modified LPA in Washington .....	3.3-9
Table 3.3-4. Summary of Permanent Property Acquisitions and Displacements for the Waterfront Park and Rides in Downtown Vancouver, Washington.....	3.3-14
Table 3.3-5. Summary of Permanent Property Acquisitions and Displacements for the Evergreen Park and Rides in Downtown Vancouver, Washington .....	3.3-15
Table 3.3-6. Summary of Temporary Construction Easements.....	3.3-17
Table 3.3-7. Avoidance and Minimization Measures .....	3.3-18
Table 3.3-8. Mitigation Measures .....	3.3-18

### 3.4 Land Use and Economic Activity

Table 3.4-1. Largest Employers in the Portland-Vancouver Metropolitan Statistical Area .....	3.4-11
Table 3.4-2. Population Forecast in the Primary Study Area .....	3.4-14
Table 3.4-3. Household Forecast in the Primary Study Area.....	3.4-15
Table 3.4-4. Employment Forecast in the Primary Study Area .....	3.4-15
Table 3.4-5. Long-Term Land Use and Economics Benefits and Effects.....	3.4-18
Table 3.4-6. Oregon Right-of-Way Acquisitions by Zoning Designation .....	3.4-20
Table 3.4-7. Washington Right-of-Way Acquisitions by Zone and Design Option (Not Including Park and Rides).....	3.4-22
Table 3.4-8. Acquisition Impacts by Park and Ride .....	3.4-24
Table 3.4-9. IBR Program Consistency with Relevant Land Use and Transportation Plans .....	3.4-26
Table 3.4-10. Business Displacements from the Modified LPA .....	3.4-34
Table 3.4-11. Property Tax Reduction from the Modified LPA Design Options.....	3.4-35
Table 3.4-12. Clark County Property Tax Reductions.....	3.4-36
Table 3.4-13. Avoidance and Minimization Measures for Land Use.....	3.4-43
Table 3.4-14. Avoidance and Minimization Measures for Economics.....	3.4-43
Table 3.4-15. Mitigation Measures for Economics.....	3.4-44

### 3.5 Neighborhoods and Communities

Table 3.5-1. Race/Ethnicity for Oregon Primary Study Area Neighborhoods, Portland, and Multnomah County.....	3.5-4
Table 3.5-2. Race/Ethnicity for Washington Primary Study Area Neighborhoods, Vancouver, and Clark County.....	3.5-5

Table 3.5-3. Demographic Characteristics for Oregon Primary Study Area Neighborhoods, Portland, and Multnomah County ..... 3.5-5

Table 3.5-4. Demographic Characteristics for Washington Primary Study Area Neighborhoods, Vancouver, and Clark County ..... 3.5-6

Table 3.5-5. Population Age for Oregon Primary Study Area Neighborhoods, Portland, and Multnomah County ..... 3.5-6

Table 3.5-6. Population Age for Washington Primary Study Area Neighborhoods, Vancouver, and Clark County ..... 3.5-7

Table 3.5-7. Multnomah County Homeless Populations for Geographic Areas Containing the IBR Primary Study Area ..... 3.5-8

Table 3.5-8. Summary of No-Build Alternative and Modified LPA Effects on Neighborhoods and Communities..... 3.5-10

Table 3.5-9. Overview of Anticipated Effects on Oregon Neighborhoods ..... 3.5-13

Table 3.5-10. Overview of Anticipated Effects on Vancouver Neighborhoods ..... 3.5-14

Table 3.5-11. Avoidance and Minimization Measures ..... 3.5-19

Table 3.5-12. Mitigation Measures ..... 3.5-19

### 3.6 Public Services and Utilities

Table 3.6-1. Public Service Providers in the Primary Study Area..... 3.6-4

Table 3.6-2. Mobile Public Service Critical Emergency Access Routes ..... 3.6-5

Table 3.6-3. Utility Providers in the Primary Study Area ..... 3.6-5

Table 3.6-4. Long-Term Public Services and Utilities Benefits and Effects ..... 3.6-9

Table 3.6-5. Intersection Performance along Critical Emergency Access Routes ..... 3.6-12

Table 3.6-6. Avoidance and Minimization Measures for Public Services..... 3.6-17

Table 3.6-7. Mitigation Measures for Public Services ..... 3.6-17

Table 3.6-8. Avoidance and Minimization Measures for Utilities ..... 3.6-17

Table 3.6-9. Mitigation Measures for Utilities ..... 3.6-18

### 3.7 Parks and Recreation

Table 3.7-1. Parks and Recreation Facilities – Location, Jurisdiction, and Amenities..... 3.7-3

Table 3.7-2. Long-Term Parks and Recreation Facilities Benefits and Effects (For Facilities with Differences between Modified LPA Design Option Combinations) ..... 3.7-7

Table 3.7-3. Summary of Property Acquisition, Trail Reconstruction, and Park Access (For Design Option Combinations with Differing Impacts)..... 3.7-12

Table 3.7-4. Modified LPA Temporary Effects on Park and Recreation Resources ..... 3.7-21

Table 3.7-5. Avoidance and Minimization Measures ..... 3.7-26

Table 3.7-6. Mitigation Measures ..... 3.7-27

### 3.8 Cultural Resources

Table 3.8-1. Archaeological Sites within the Washington Portion of the Area of Potential Effect .....	3.8-14
Table 3.8-2. Oregon Historic Built Environment Resources .....	3.8-17
Table 3.8-3. Interstate Historic Built Environment Resources .....	3.8-19
Table 3.8-4. Washington Historic Built Environment Resources .....	3.8-21
Table 3.8-5. Long-Term Cultural Resources Benefits and Effects .....	3.8-27
Table 3.8-6. Direct Effects of the Modified LPA on Oregon Historic Built Environment Resources .....	3.8-34
Table 3.8-7. Direct Effects of the Modified LPA on Interstate Historic Built Environment Resources .....	3.8-36
Table 3.8-8. Direct Effects of the Modified LPA on Washington Historic Built Environment Resources .....	3.8-38
Table 3.8-9. Avoidance and Minimization Measures .....	3.8-52
Table 3.8-10. Mitigation Measures .....	3.8-53

### 3.9 Visual Quality

Table 3.9-1. Visual Character of Landscape Units .....	3.9-3
Table 3.9-2. Viewer Groups, Viewer Sensitivity, and Existing Visual Quality Ratings for Landscape Units .....	3.9-5
Table 3.9-3. Long-Term Reasonably Foreseeable Visual Quality Benefits and Effects .....	3.9-6
Table 3.9-4. Columbia Slough Landscape Unit Degree of Impact on Visual Quality .....	3.9-11
Table 3.9-5. Columbia River Landscape Unit Degree of Impact on Visual Quality .....	3.9-15
Table 3.9-6. Vancouver Downtown Landscape Unit Degree of Impact on Visual Quality .....	3.9-19
Table 3.9-7. Greater Central Park Landscape Unit Degree of Impact on Visual Quality .....	3.9-24
Table 3.9-8. Burnt Bridge Creek Landscape Unit Degree of Impact on Visual Quality .....	3.9-26
Table 3.9-9. Ruby Junction Landscape Unit Degree of Impact on Visual Quality .....	3.9-27
Table 3.9-10. Avoidance and Minimization Measures .....	3.9-29
Table 3.9-11. Mitigation Measures .....	3.9-30

### 3.10 Air Quality

Table 3.10-1. Sensitive Receptors near the Program Footprint .....	3.10-6
Table 3.10-2. Long-Term Air Quality Benefits and Effects .....	3.10-8
Table 3.10-3. Mobile Source Air Toxics Emissions (Tons per Year) .....	3.10-11
Table 3.10-4. Criteria Pollutant Emissions (Tons per Year) .....	3.10-11
Table 3.10-5. Mobile Source Air Toxics Emissions for the Modified LPA with One or Two Auxiliary Lanes (Tons per Year) .....	3.10-13
Table 3.10-6. Criteria Pollutant Emissions for the Modified LPA with One or Two Auxiliary Lanes (Tons per Year) .....	3.10-13
Table 3.10-7. Avoidance and Minimization Measures .....	3.10-16

### 3.11 Noise and Vibration

Table 3.11-1. FHWA Traffic Noise Abatement Criteria by Land Use Category .....	3.11-5
Table 3.11-2. Washington State Environmental Noise Standard.....	3.11-6
Table 3.11-3. Washington State Exemptions for Short-Term Noise Exceedances .....	3.11-6
Table 3.11-4. Oregon Department of Environmental Quality Industrial and Commercial Noise Source Standards.....	3.11-8
Table 3.11-5. FTA Ground-Borne Vibration and Noise Impact Criteria.....	3.11-10
Table 3.11-6. Ground-Borne Vibration and Noise Impact Levels for Special Buildings .....	3.11-11
Table 3.11-7. Long-term Noise and Vibration Benefits and Effects .....	3.11-14
Table 3.11-8. Modified LPA with Recommended Design Options $L_{eq}$ and $L_{dn}$ for Transit Operations.....	3.11-32
Table 3.11-9. Projected Transit Vibration Effects under the Modified LPA.....	3.11-33
Table 3.11-10. Typical Construction Equipment, Use, and Reference Maximum Noise Level .....	3.11-35
Table 3.11-11. Noise Levels for Typical Construction Scenarios at 50 Feet from Work Site .....	3.11-37
Table 3.11-12. Noise Wall Analysis Summary .....	3.11-41
Table 3.11-13. Modified LPA with Recommended Design Options - Light-Rail Noise Mitigation Analysis..	3.11-51
Table 3.11-14. Modified LPA with Recommended Design Options - Vibration Mitigation Analysis.....	3.11-53
Table 3.11-15. Comparison of Effects of the Modified LPA with the Single-Level Bridge Configuration and One Auxiliary Lane Design Options without and with Mitigation .....	3.11-53
Table 3.11-16. Avoidance and Minimization Measures .....	3.11-56
Table 3.11-17. Mitigation Measures .....	3.11-57

### 3.12 Energy

Table 3.12-1. Long-term Energy Effects.....	3.12-4
Table 3.12-2. Daily Energy Consumption in the Energy Study Area and Traffic Assignment Area .....	3.12-6
Table 3.12-3. Modified LPA Transit Operations Energy Consumption.....	3.12-7
Table 3.12-4. Modified LPA Energy Consumption from Construction Activities .....	3.12-9
Table 3.12-5. Avoidance and Minimization Measures .....	3.12-9
Table 3.12-6. Mitigation Measures .....	3.12-10

### 3.13 Electric and Magnetic Fields

Table 3.13-1. Exposure Guidelines for Power Frequency (60 Hz) Electromagnetic Fields.....	3.13-2
Table 3.13-2. Magnetic Field Strength at Distance from TriMet’s Light-Rail Tracks (mG) .....	3.13-2
Table 3.13-3. Long-Term Electric and Magnetic Fields Effects .....	3.13-3

### 3.14 Water Quality and Hydrology

Table 3.14-1. Water Quality-Limited Waterways within the Primary Study Area.....	3.14-6
Table 3.14-2. Existing Impervious Area and Treated and Untreated Stormwater (acres) .....	3.14-7

Table 3.14-3. Long-term Water Quality and Hydrology Effects..... 3.14-9

Table 3.14-4. Contributing Impervious Area by Bridge Configuration and Auxiliary Lane Design Options 3.14-11

Table 3.14-5. Changes in Base Flood Elevation – Future Condition ..... 3.14-12

Table 3.14-6. Contributing Impervious Area and Annual Pollutant Load Estimates for the Modified LPA . 3.14-14

Table 3.14-7. Avoidance and Minimization Measures ..... 3.14-18

### 3.15 Wetlands and Other Waters

Table 3.15-1. Wetlands Identified within the Primary Study Area in Oregon ..... 3.15-4

Table 3.15-2. Wetlands Identified within the Primary Study Area in Washington ..... 3.15-6

Table 3.15-3. Other Jurisdictional and Potential Jurisdictional Waters within the Primary Study Area ..... 3.15-8

Table 3.15-4. Long-Term Wetlands and Other Waters Effects ..... 3.15-9

Table 3.15-5. Reasonably Foreseeable Long-Term Effects to Wetlands and Wetland Buffers from the Modified LPA ..... 3.15-10

Table 3.15-6. Avoidance and Minimization Measures ..... 3.15-16

Table 3.15-7. Compensatory Mitigation Measures ..... 3.15-17

### 3.16 Ecosystems

Table 3.16-1. Aquatic Species of Interest Potentially Occurring within the Ecosystems Primary and/or Secondary Study Area ..... 3.16-7

Table 3.16-2. Acres of Terrestrial Habitat Classification within the Ecosystems Primary and Secondary Study Areas ..... 3.16-10

Table 3.16-3. Terrestrial Species of Interest Potentially Occurring within the Ecosystems Primary and Secondary Study Area ..... 3.16-12

Table 3.16-4. Botanical Species of Interest Potentially Occurring within the Ecosystems Primary Study Area ..... 3.16-14

Table 3.16-5. Noxious Weed Species Occurring within the Ecosystems Primary Study Area..... 3.16-15

Table 3.16-6. Federal, State, and Local Habitat Designations within the Ecosystems Primary and Secondary Study Areas..... 3.16-16

Table 3.16-7. Long-term Ecosystems Benefits and Effects ..... 3.16-18

Table 3.16-8. Reasonably Foreseeable Permanent Benthic Habitat and Overwater Shading Aquatic Effects Summary..... 3.16-21

Table 3.16-9. Post-Project Increase in Contributing Impervious Area..... 3.16-25

Table 3.16-10. Permanent Loss of Sensitive Terrestrial Habitats ..... 3.16-27

Table 3.16-11. Temporary Aquatic Habitat Impacts Summary..... 3.16-31

Table 3.16-12. Temporary Disturbance of Sensitive Terrestrial Habitats ..... 3.16-35

Table 3.16-13. Avoidance and Minimization Measures ..... 3.16-37

Table 3.16-14. Mitigation Measures ..... 3.16-43

### 3.17 Geology and Groundwater

Table 3.17-1. Long-Term Geology and Groundwater Benefits and Effects .....	3.17-5
Table 3.17-2. Geology and Groundwater Avoidance and Minimization Measures for the Modified LPA ....	3.17-10
Table 3.17-3. Geology and Groundwater Mitigation Measures for the Modified LPA.....	3.17-11

### 3.18 Hazardous Materials

Table 3.18-1. Summary of Recommendations for Identified Recognized Environmental Conditions – Washington .....	3.18-14
Table 3.18-2. Summary of Recommendations for Identified Recognized Environmental Conditions – Oregon.....	3.18-15
Table 3.18-3. Long-Term Hazardous Materials Benefits and Effects .....	3.18-20
Table 3.18-4. Avoidance and Minimization Measures .....	3.18-27

### 3.19 Climate Change

No tables.

### 3.20 Environmental Justice

No tables.

### 3.21 Section 6(f) and Federal Lands to Parks

Table 3.21-1. Avoidance and Minimization Measures .....	3.21-13
Table 3.21-2. Mitigation Measures .....	3.21-13

### 3.22 Aviation

Table 3.22-1. Long-Term Aviation Benefits and Effects on Pearson Field.....	3.22-11
Table 3.22-2. Avoidance and Minimization Measures .....	3.22-15
Table 3.22-3. Mitigation Measures .....	3.22-16

## 4. Section 4(f) Evaluation

Table 4-1. Summary Information about Section 4(f) Park and Recreation Properties in the IBR Program Primary Study Area .....	4-8
Table 4-2. Summary Information about Section 4(f) Historic Sites in the IBR Program Primary Study Area ..	4-24
Table 4-3. Summary of Section 4(f) Evaluation for IBR Program Modified LPA Design Options for Park and Recreational Properties.....	4-30
Table 4-4. Permanent and Temporary Use under the Modified LPA Design Options for the Fort Vancouver National Historic Site.....	4-53
Table 4-5. Summary of Section 4(f) Evaluation for Historic Sites for IBR Program Modified LPA Design Options.....	4-61
Table 4-6. Historic Sites (Portland) – No Use under Section 4(f) .....	4-65
Table 4-7. Historic Sites (Vancouver) – No Use under Section 4(f) .....	4-82

Table 4-8. Section 4(f) Properties with Use with a Greater than *de Minimis* Impact by the IBR Program  
Modified LPA with All Design Options ..... 4-118

Table 4-9. Use with Greater than *de Minimis* Impact of Section 4(f) Properties by Alternative ..... 4-127

Table 4-10. Relative Significance of Section 4(f) Properties with Use with a Greater than *de Minimis*  
Impact ..... 4-132

Table 4-11. Cost Estimates ..... 4-138

Table 4-12. Summary of Least Overall Harm Analysis..... 4-139

# LIST OF FIGURES

## Summary

Figure 1. IBR Program Area Map..... S-4

Figure 2. Crash Blocking the Interstate Bridge ..... S-9

Figure 3. Bicycle and Pedestrian Path on the Interstate Bridge ..... S-9

Figure 4. Modified LPA Components ..... S-14

## 1. Purpose and Need

Figure 1-1. Program Vicinity ..... 1-2

Figure 1-2. Crash Blocking the Interstate Bridge..... 1-5

Figure 1-3. Bicycle and Pedestrian Path on the Interstate Bridge ..... 1-6

## 2. Description of Alternatives

Figure 2-1. IBR Program Location Overview ..... 2-3

Figure 2-2. Modified LPA Components..... 2-8

Figure 2-3. Modified LPA – Geographic Subareas ..... 2-9

Figure 2-4. Auxiliary Lane Configurations ..... 2-11

Figure 2-5. Auxiliary Lane Configuration Footprint Differences..... 2-12

Figure 2-6. Portland Mainland and Hayden Island (Subarea A) ..... 2-15

Figure 2-7. Levee Systems in Subarea A..... 2-16

Figure 2-8. Transit and Roadway Improvements in North Portland..... 2-18

Figure 2-9. Vehicle Circulation between Hayden Island and the Portland Mainland..... 2-21

Figure 2-10. Columbia River Bridges (Subarea B)..... 2-24

Figure 2-11. Bridge Foundation Concept..... 2-25

Figure 2-12. Existing Navigation Clearances of the Interstate Bridge ..... 2-26

Figure 2-13. Navigation Clearances and proposed profile of the Modified LPA Columbia River Bridges with a Double-Deck Fixed-Span Configuration ..... 2-26

Figure 2-14. Conceptual Drawing of a Double-Deck Fixed-Span Configuration ..... 2-27

Figure 2-15. Typical Cross Section of the Double-Deck Fixed-Span Configuration ..... 2-28

Figure 2-16. Conceptual Drawings of Single-Level Fixed-Span Bridge Types..... 2-30

Figure 2-17. Typical Cross Section of the Single-Level Fixed-Span Configuration (Extradosed Type)..... 2-31

Figure 2-18. Typical Cross Section of the Single-Level Fixed-Span Configuration (Girder Type) ..... 2-31

Figure 2-19. Conceptual Drawings of Single-Level Movable-Span Configurations in the Closed and Open Positions ..... 2-33

Figure 2-20. Typical Cross Section of the Single-Level Movable-Span Bridge Type ..... 2-34

Figure 2-21. Bridge Configuration Footprint Comparison ..... 2-36

Figure 2-22. Bridge Configuration Profile Comparison ..... 2-37

Figure 2-23. Downtown Vancouver (Subarea C) ..... 2-43

Figure 2-24. Modified LPA with C Street Ramps..... 2-45

Figure 2-25. Collector-Distributor Roadways ..... 2-47

Figure 2-26. Typical Cross Section of the Collector-Distributor Roadways..... 2-47

Figure 2-27. Upper Vancouver (Subarea D)..... 2-52

Figure 2-28. Ruby Junction Light-Rail Operations and Maintenance Facility Study Area ..... 2-55

Figure 2-29. Expo Center Overnight LRV Facility ..... 2-57

Figure 2-30. Toll Zone ..... 2-63

Figure 2-31. Potential Compensatory Mitigation Sites ..... 2-65

Figure 2-32. Preliminary Construction Packages ..... 2-69

Figure 2-33. IBR Program Modifications to the CRC LPA Components..... 2-76

### 3. Existing Conditions and Environmental Consequences

Figure 3-1. Primary Study Area..... 3-5

#### 3.1 Transportation

Figure 3.1-1. Primary Study Area..... 3.1-2

Figure 3.1-2. Portland Metropolitan Region ..... 3.1-3

Figure 3.1-3. Traffic Subarea ..... 3.1-4

Figure 3.1-4. I-5 Freeway Analysis Area ..... 3.1-5

Figure 3.1-5. Intersection Analysis Study Intersections – Vancouver ..... 3.1-6

Figure 3.1-6. Intersection Analysis Study Intersections – Portland ..... 3.1-7

Figure 3.1-7. 2019 Existing Conditions Northbound Peak Period Mainline and Ramp Demand Volumes  
(vehicles per hour) ..... 3.1-13

Figure 3.1-8. 2019 Existing Conditions Southbound Peak Period Mainline and Ramp Demand Volumes  
(vehicles per hour) ..... 3.1-14

Figure 3.1-9. Interstate Bridge Opening and Gate Closure Events 2012–2023 ..... 3.1-21

Figure 3.1-10. Screenline Locations – Vancouver ..... 3.1-31

Figure 3.1-11. Screenline Locations – Portland ..... 3.1-32

Figure 3.1-12. 2045 Forecast Northbound Peak-Period Mainline and Ramp Demand Volumes (vehicles  
per hour) – No-Build Alternative ..... 3.1-40

Figure 3.1-13. 2045 Forecast Southbound Peak-Period Mainline and Ramp Demand Volumes (vehicles  
per hour) – No-Build Alternative ..... 3.1-41

Figure 3.1-14. 2045 Forecast Northbound Peak-Period Mainline and Ramp Demand Volumes (vehicles  
per hour) – Modified LPA with Recommended Design Options..... 3.1-42

Figure 3.1-15. 2045 Forecast Southbound Peak-Period Mainline and Ramp Demand Volumes (vehicles per hour) – Modified LPA with Recommended Design Options..... 3.1-43

Figure 3.1-16. Forecast I-5 2045 Peak Period Congestion Index ..... 3.1-51

### 3.2 Navigation

Figure 3.2-1. Columbia and Snake River System from the Interstate Bridge to Pasco, Washington ..... 3.2-3

Figure 3.2-2. Existing Navigation Channels Under the Interstate Bridge and BNSF Railway Bridge..... 3.2-5

Figure 3.2-3. Existing Interstate Bridge Navigation Clearances..... 3.2-6

Figure 3.2-4. Proposed Columbia River Navigation Channels under the Modified LPA..... 3.2-9

### 3.3 Property Acquisitions and Displacements

Figure 3.3-1. Primary Study Area and Subareas ..... 3.3-2

Figure 3.3-2. Potential Property Acquisitions (without Park and Rides) ..... 3.3-5

Figure 3.3-3. Detail of Property Acquisitions in Downtown Vancouver (without Park and Rides) ..... 3.3-6

Figure 3.3-4. Waterfront Station Park and Rides ..... 3.3-12

Figure 3.3-5. Evergreen Station Park and Rides ..... 3.3-13

### 3.4 Land Use and Economic Activity

Figure 3.4-1. Land Use Primary and Secondary Study Areas and Existing Land Uses ..... 3.4-3

Figure 3.4-2. Economic Analysis Primary and Secondary Study Areas..... 3.4-4

Figure 3.4-3. Existing Land Uses – Southern Portion of the Secondary Study Area..... 3.4-6

Figure 3.4-4. Existing Land Uses in North Portland, Hayden Island, and the Columbia River ..... 3.4-7

Figure 3.4-5. Existing Land Uses in Downtown Vancouver ..... 3.4-9

Figure 3.4-6. Existing Land Uses in North Vancouver and Clark County..... 3.4-10

Figure 3.4-7. Percent of Total Employment by Industry for Portland MSA, 2015 and 2045 ..... 3.4-16

### 3.5 Neighborhoods and Communities

Figure 3.5-1. Neighborhoods in the Primary Study Area..... 3.5-3

### 3.6 Public Services and Utilities

Figure 3.6-1. Public Services in North Portland and Hayden Island ..... 3.6-2

Figure 3.6-2. Public Services in Downtown and Upper Vancouver ..... 3.6-3

### 3.7 Parks and Recreation

Figure 3.7-1. Parks and Recreation Facilities in the Primary Study Area..... 3.7-2

Figure 3.7-2. Marine Drive Trail and Proposed Bridgeton Trail..... 3.7-15

Figure 3.7-3. Fort Vancouver National Historic Site – Comparison of Modified LPA and Design Options..... 3.7-19

### 3.8 Cultural Resources

Figure 3.8-1. Area of Potential Effects .....	3.8-8
Figure 3.8-2. Oregon Historic Built Environment Resources.....	3.8-18
Figure 3.8-3. Interstate Historic Built Environment Resources.....	3.8-20
Figure 3.8-4. Washington Historic Built Environment Resources – South.....	3.8-25
Figure 3.8-5. Washington Historic Built Environment Resources – North .....	3.8-26
Figure 3.8-6. Design Options Comparison – Normandy Apartments (WA 149) .....	3.8-31
Figure 3.8-7. Design Options Comparison – Vancouver National Historic Reserve Historic District (WA 1357), South.....	3.8-32
Figure 3.8-8. Design Options Comparison – Vancouver National Historic Reserve Historic District (WA 1357), North .....	3.8-33

### 3.9 Visual Quality

Figure 3.9-1. Area of Visual Effect and Landscape Units .....	3.9-2
Figure 3.9-2. Key Viewpoints Used in the Visual Quality Analysis .....	3.9-4
Figure 3.9-3. KVP 2: Existing Conditions Photograph and Conceptual Photographic Simulation (N Marine Drive Looking Northwest at Pedestrian Trails).....	3.9-10
Figure 3.9-4. KVP 8: Existing Conditions Photograph and Conceptual Photographic Simulations (Columbia River Bridges).....	3.9-13
Figure 3.9-5. KVP 15: Existing Conditions Photograph and Conceptual Photographic Simulation (Columbia River Bridge northbound lane looking north to Vancouver) .....	3.9-14
Figure 3.9-6. Existing Conditions Photograph and Conceptual Photographic Simulation (6th Street).....	3.9-18
Figure 3.9-7. KVP 23: Existing Conditions Photograph and Conceptual Photographic Simulation – SR 14 without I-5 C Street Ramps (Fort Vancouver National Historic Site) .....	3.9-21
Figure 3.9-8. KVP 23: Existing Conditions Photograph and Conceptual Photographic Simulations (Fort Vancouver National Historic Site, Southwest Corner) .....	3.9-22
Figure 3.9-9. KVP 33: Existing Conditions Photograph and Conceptual Photographic Simulation – Corner of Fort Vancouver Way and McClellan Road. ....	3.9-23
Figure 3.9-10. KVP 41: Existing Conditions and Conceptual Photographic Simulation (39th Street Overpass Looking South) .....	3.9-25

### 3.10 Air Quality

Figure 3.10-1. Air Quality Study Area.....	3.10-3
Figure 3.10-2. Roadway Emissions Analysis Network .....	3.10-4

### 3.11 Noise and Vibration

Figure 3.11-1. Typical Community Noise Levels (in $L_{dn}$ ) .....	3.11-2
Figure 3.11-2. Typical Noise Source and Human Perception of Sound Levels.....	3.11-3
Figure 3.11-3. Noise and Vibration Study Area .....	3.11-4

Figure 3.11-4. Federal Transit Administration Noise Impact Criteria for Transit Projects..... 3.11-8

Figure 3.11-5. Human and Building Response to Ground-Borne Vibration Levels ..... 3.11-9

Figure 3.11-6. Modified LPA with Recommended Design Options – 2045 Traffic Noise Impacts – Portland Mainland ..... 3.11-20

Figure 3.11-7. Modified LPA with Recommended Design Options – 2045 Traffic Noise Impacts – Portland/Hayden Island ..... 3.11-21

Figure 3.11-8. Modified LPA with Recommended Design Options – 2045 Traffic Noise Impacts – I-5/SR 14 Interchange..... 3.11-22

Figure 3.11-9. Modified LPA with Recommended Design Options – 2045 Traffic Noise Impacts – E 8th Street to McLoughlin Boulevard ..... 3.11-23

Figure 3.11-10. Modified LPA with Recommended Design Options – 2045 Traffic Noise Impacts – McLoughlin Boulevard to E 30th Street ..... 3.11-24

Figure 3.11-11. Modified LPA with Recommended Design Options – 2045 Traffic Noise Impacts – E 30th Street to E 39th Street ..... 3.11-25

Figure 3.11-12. Modified LPA with Recommended Design Options – 2045 Traffic Noise Impacts – E 39th Street to Terminus..... 3.11-26

Figure 3.11-13. Modified LPA - Downtown Vancouver Transit Noise and Vibration Analysis and Impacts. 3.11-34

Figure 3.11-14. Noise Level vs. Distance for Typical Construction Phases..... 3.11-38

Figure 3.11-15. Modified LPA with Recommended Design Options – 2045 Evaluated Noise Walls – Portland Mainland ..... 3.11-43

Figure 3.11-16. Modified LPA with Recommended Design Options – 2045 Evaluated Noise Walls – Portland/Hayden Island ..... 3.11-44

Figure 3.11-17. Modified LPA with Recommended Design Options – 2045 Evaluated Noise Walls – I-5/SR 14 Interchange ..... 3.11-45

Figure 3.11-18. Modified LPA with Recommended Design Options – 2045 Evaluated Noise Walls – E 8th Street to McLoughlin Boulevard ..... 3.11-46

Figure 3.11-19. Modified LPA with Recommended Design Options – 2045 Evaluated Noise Walls – McLoughlin Boulevard to E 30th Street ..... 3.11-47

Figure 3.11-20. Modified LPA with Recommended Design Options – 2045 Evaluated Noise Walls – E 30th Street to E 39th Street ..... 3.11-48

Figure 3.11-21. Modified LPA with Recommended Design Options – 2045 Evaluated Noise Walls – E 39th Street to Northern Terminus..... 3.11-49

Figure 3.11-22. Modified LPA with Recommended Design Options - Light-Rail Noise and Vibration Impacts Post-Mitigation ..... 3.11-52

### 3.12 Energy

Figure 3.12-1. Energy Study Area and Traffic Assignment Area ..... 3.12-2

### 3.13 Electric and Magnetic Fields

Figure 3.13-1. Modified LPA Proposed Light-Rail Traction Power Substation and Existing Land Uses ..... 3.13-4

### 3.14 Water Quality and Hydrology

Figure 3.14-1. Water Quality and Hydrology Study Area and Study-Specific Watersheds..... 3.14-2  
 Figure 3.14-2. Federal Emergency Management Agency Floodplain Boundaries in the Primary Study Area ..... 3.14-4

### 3.15 Wetlands and Other Waters

Figure 3.15-1. Wetlands and Other Waters Primary Study Area..... 3.15-3  
 Figure 3.15-2. Field-Identified Wetlands and Other Waters - Oregon ..... 3.15-5  
 Figure 3.15-3. Field-Identified Wetlands and Other Waters - Washington ..... 3.15-7  
 Figure 3.15-4. Modified LPA Filling or Reduction of Wetlands and Other Waters – Oregon..... 3.15-12  
 Figure 3.15-5. Modified LPA Filling or Reduction of Wetlands and Other Waters – Washington ..... 3.15-13

### 3.16 Ecosystems

Figure 3.16-1. Ecosystems Primary Study Area ..... 3.16-3  
 Figure 3.16-2. Ecosystems Secondary Study Area ..... 3.16-4  
 Figure 3.16-3. Habitat Classifications within the Ecosystems Primary and Secondary Study Areas ..... 3.16-11

### 3.17 Geology and Groundwater

Figure 3.17-1. Geology and Groundwater Primary Study Area ..... 3.17-2

### 3.18 Hazardous Materials

Figure 3.18-1. Overview of Phase I ESA Recommendations ..... 3.18-4  
 Figure 3.18-2. Phase I ESA Recommendations – Map 1 ..... 3.18-5  
 Figure 3.18-3. Phase I ESA Recommendations – Map 2 ..... 3.18-6  
 Figure 3.18-4. Phase I ESA Recommendations – Map 3 ..... 3.18-7  
 Figure 3.18-5. Phase I ESA Recommendations – Map 4 ..... 3.18-8  
 Figure 3.18-6. Phase I ESA Recommendations – Map 5 ..... 3.18-9  
 Figure 3.18-7. Phase I ESA Recommendations – Map 6 ..... 3.18-10  
 Figure 3.18-8. Phase I ESA Recommendations – Map 7 ..... 3.18-11  
 Figure 3.18-9. Phase I ESA Recommendations – Map 8 ..... 3.18-12

### 3.19 Climate Change

No figures.

### 3.20 Environmental Justice

No figures.

### 3.21 Section 6(f) and Federal Lands to Parks

Figure 3.21-1. Section 6(f) and FLP Program Resources in the Primary Study Area ..... 3.21-3

Figure 3.21-2. East Delta Park Section 6(f) and FLP Program Boundaries ..... 3.21-6

Figure 3.21-3. Old Apple Tree Park FLP Program Boundaries..... 3.21-8

Figure 3.21-4. Marshall Community Center, Luepke Senior Center, and Marshall Park FLP Program Boundaries..... 3.21-10

Figure 3.21-5. Burnt Bridge Creek Trail..... 3.21-11

### 3.22 Aviation

Figure 3.22-1. Typical Civil Airport Protected Airspaces ..... 3.22-2

Figure 3.22-2. Analysis Area for Reasonably Foreseeable Aviation Effects at Portland International Airport ..... 3.22-3

Figure 3.22-3. Analysis Area for Reasonably Foreseeable Aviation Effects at Pearson Field ..... 3.22-4

Figure 3.22-4. Pearson Field Protected Airspace – Existing Bridge Penetrations ..... 3.22-6

Figure 3.22-5. Locations for Low-Profile Signs and Lights on Modified LPA with Double-Deck Fixed-Span Bridge Configuration Design Option (Profile View) ..... 3.22-8

Figure 3.22-6. Locations for Low-Profile Signs and Lights on Modified LPA with Double-Deck Fixed-Span Bridge Configuration Design Option (Plan View) ..... 3.22-8

Figure 3.22-7. Pearson Field Protected Airspace – Modified LPA with Single-Level Movable-Span Bridge Configuration Design Option Intrusion..... 3.22-9

## 4. Section 4(f) Evaluation

Figure 4-1. Section 4(f) Park and Recreation Properties: IBR Program Primary Study Area..... 4-11

Figure 4-2. Section 4(f) Park and Recreation Properties: Oregon ..... 4-12

Figure 4-3. Section 4(f) Park and Recreation Properties: Fort Vancouver National Historic Site ..... 4-13

Figure 4-4. Section 4(f) Park and Recreation Properties: Mill Plain Boulevard to Fourth Plain Boulevard..... 4-14

Figure 4-5. Section 4(f) Park and Recreation Properties: North of Fourth Plain Boulevard ..... 4-15

Figure 4-6. Kiggins Bowl Sports Fields/Stadium Modified LPA with Design Options..... 4-18

Figure 4-7. Summary of Section 4(f) Historic Sites in the IBR Program Primary Study Area – Oregon ..... 4-20

Figure 4-8. Summary of Section 4(f) Historic Sites in the IBR Program Primary Study Area – Downtown Vancouver ..... 4-21

Figure 4-9. Summary of Section 4(f) Historic Sites in the IBR Program Primary Study Area – Upper Vancouver ..... 4-22

Figure 4-10. IBR Program Improvements and Area of Permanent Incorporation for the Southbound Interstate Bridge..... 4-23

Figure 4-11. Marine Drive Trail ..... 4-29

Figure 4-12. East Delta Park..... 4-32

Figure 4-13. Vancouver Landing at Terminal 1 ..... 4-36

Figure 4-14. Vancouver Waterfront Park..... 4-39

Figure 4-15. Clark College Recreation Fields ..... 4-41

Figure 4-16. Leverich Community Park and Burnt Bridge Creek Trail..... 4-44

Figure 4-17. Fort Vancouver National Historic Site – Comparison of Modified LPA Design Options..... 4-51

Figure 4-18. Fort Vancouver National Historic Site - Visual Change Comparison between Existing Conditions and the Modified LPA..... 4-52

Figure 4-19. Old Apple Tree Park..... 4-55

Figure 4-20. Marshall Community Center, Luepke Senior Center, and Marshall Park – Modified LPA with All Design Options..... 4-58

Figure 4-21. IBR Program Improvements and Area of Permanent Incorporation for the Harbor Shops Building..... 4-67

Figure 4-22. IBR Program Improvements and Area of Permanent Incorporation for the Jantzen Beach Water Tower and Pump House ..... 4-69

Figure 4-23. Aerial Map of Jantzen Beach Moorage (1501, 1525-2055 North Jantzen Avenue), Showing Boundary in Red ..... 4-70

Figure 4-24. IBR Program Improvements and Area of Permanent Incorporation for the Columbia Slough Drainage Districts Historic District..... 4-72

Figure 4-25. IBR Program Improvements and Area of Permanent Incorporation for the Columbia Slough Drainage Districts Historic District..... 4-73

Figure 4-26. IBR Program Improvements and Area of Permanent Incorporation for the Portland Assembly Center..... 4-76

Figure 4-27. Aerial Map of the Lower Columbia River Federal Navigation Channel..... 4-78

Figure 4-28. Existing and Proposed Channel Routes within the Lower Columbia River Federal Navigation Channel ..... 4-78

Figure 4-29. Upper Vancouver Turning Basin ..... 4-79

Figure 4-30. IBR Program Improvements and Area of Permanent Incorporation for the Northbound Interstate Bridge..... 4-80

Figure 4-31. IBR Program Improvements and Area of Permanent Incorporation for 2901 K Street ..... 4-83

Figure 4-32. IBR Program Improvements near 901 E 29th Street ..... 4-86

Figure 4-33. IBR Program Modified LPA in Relation to the House of Providence and House of Providence Kindergarten ..... 4-89

Figure 4-34. IBR Program Modified LPA with I-5 Shifted West Design Option in Relation to the House of Providence and House of Providence Kindergarten ..... 4-92

Figure 4-35. IBR Program Improvements in Relation to the Evergreen Hotel..... 4-95

Figure 4-36. IBR Program Improvements in Relation to the Who Song & Larry’s Restaurant ..... 4-98

Figure 4-37. IBR Program Modified LPA Improvements in Relation to the Normandy Apartments..... 4-101

Figure 4-38. IBR Program Modified LPA with I-5 Shifted West Design Option in Relation to the Normandy Apartments ..... 4-103

**Interstate Bridge Replacement Program**

Figure 4-39. IBR Program Modified LPA Improvements in Relation to the Columbia Street Bridge Substation..... 4-105

Figure 4-40. IBR Program Modified LPA Improvements in Relation to the VNHR Historic District..... 4-107

Figure 4-41. IBR Program Improvements and Area of Permanent Incorporation for the Washington State Patrol District Five Headquarters..... 4-113

Figure 4-42. Alternative Corridors Evaluated during the CRC Initial Screening Process ..... 4-116

## ABBREVIATIONS AND ACRONYMS

Abbreviation/Acronym	Definition
AASHTO	American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials
AC	alternating current
ACM	asbestos-containing material
ADA	Americans with Disabilities Act
APE	Area of Potential Effect
AVE	Area of Visual Effect
AWDT	average weekday daily traffic
BLTS	Bike Level of Traffic Stress
BMP	best management practice
BRT	bus rapid transit
BUILDER	Building United States Infrastructure through Limited Delays and Efficient Reviews
CAFE	Corporate Average Fuel Economy
CD	collector-distributor
CEQ	Council on Environmental Quality
CESCL	certified erosion and sediment control lead
CFR	Code of Federal Regulations
CIA	contributing impervious area
CMMP	contaminated media management plan
CRC	Columbia River Crossing
CRD	Columbia River Datum
CSZ	Cascadia Subduction Zone
C&LW	Columbia and Lower Willamette

Abbreviation/Acronym	Definition
C-TRAN	Clark County Public Transit Benefit Area Authority
CWA	Clean Water Act
DAHP	Washington Department of Archaeology and Historic Preservation
dB	decibels
dBA	A-weighted decibels
DC	direct current
DDE 4,4'	dichlorodiphenyldichloroethylene
DDT	dichlorodiphenyltrichloroethane
DEQ	Oregon Department of Environmental Quality
DOI	U.S. Department of the Interior
DOT	Department of Transportation
Ecology	Washington State Department of Ecology
EIS	Environmental Impact Statement
EMF	electromagnetic field
EPA	U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
ESA	Endangered Species Act
ESA	Environmental Site Assessment
ESC	erosion and spill control
ESCP	erosion and sediment control plan
ESHB	Engrossed Substitute House Bill
FAA	Federal Aviation Administration
FEMA	Federal Emergency Management Agency
FHWA	Federal Highway Administration
FLP	Federal Lands to Parks
FOE	Finding of Effect

Abbreviation/Acronym	Definition
FR	Federal Register
FRA	Federal Railroad Administration
FTA	Federal Transit Administration
FY	fiscal year
G	gauss
GIS	geographical information system
GSA	General Services Administration
HBC	Hudson's Bay Company
HBE	historic built environment
HBMS	Hazardous building materials survey
HCT	high-capacity transit
HNC	horizontal navigation clearance
HPRCSIT	historic properties of religious and cultural significance to Indian tribes
Hz	hertz
I-205	Interstate 205
I-5	Interstate 5
IBR	Interstate Bridge Replacement
ICNIRP	International Commission on Non-Ionizing Radiation Protection
IFR	Interim Final Rule
in/sec	inches per second
kV/m	kilovolts per meter
KVP	key viewpoint
Landing	Vancouver Landing at Terminal 1
LCR FNC	Lower Columbia River Federal Navigation Channel
LCR NAV Historic District	Lower Columbia River Federal Navigation Historic District

Abbreviation/Acronym	Definition
LCRWT	Lower Columbia River Water Trail
LOS	level of service
LPA	Locally Preferred Alternative
LRFD	load-and-resistance factor design
LRT	light-rail transit
LRV	light-rail vehicle
LU	landscape unit
LUST	leaking underground storage tank
LWCF	Land and Water Conservation Fund
MAX	Metropolitan Area Express
MBTA	Migratory Bird Treaty Act
Metro	Oregon Metro
mG	milligauss
mm	millimeters
MOA	memorandum of agreement
MOU	memorandum of understanding
MOVES	MOTOR Vehicle Emissions Simulator
mph	miles per hour
MSA	Metropolitan Statistical Area
MSAT	mobile source air toxics
MT	metric tons
NAAQS	National Ambient Air Quality Standards
NAC	noise abatement criteria
NAICS	North American Industry Classification System
NCO	non-commissioned officer

Abbreviation/Acronym	Definition
NEPA	National Environmental Policy Act
NHPA	National Historic Preservation Act
NHS	National Historic Site
NIR	Navigation Impact Report
NOAA Fisheries	National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration National Marine Fisheries Service
NPDES	National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System
NPS	National Park Service
NRHP	National Register of Historic Places
NRI	Natural Resource Inventory
OAR	Oregon Administrative Rules
OCS	Obstacle Clearance Surface
ODFW	Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife
ODOT	Oregon Department of Transportation
OHWM	ordinary high water mark
OMF	Operations and Maintenance Facility
OPRD	Oregon Parks and Recreation Department
ORS	Oregon Revised Statute
OTC	Oregon Transportation Commission
PA	programmatic agreement
PAH	polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon
PCB	polychlorinated biphenyl
PCC	Portland City Code
PCP	pollution control plan
PDX	Portland International Airport

Abbreviation/Acronym	Definition
PM	particulate matter
PMSA	Primary Metropolitan Statistical Area
PNCD	Preliminary Navigation Clearance Determination
PP&R	Portland Parks and Recreation
PRM	permittee responsible mitigation
RCO	Recreation and Conservation Office
RCP	Representative Concentration Pathway
RCW	Revised Code of Washington
RM	river mile
ROD	Record of Decision
RTC	Southwest Washington Regional Transportation Council
Metro/RTC 2018 RTP RTDM	Oregon Metro/Southwest Washington Regional Transportation Commission 2018 Regional Transportation Plan Regional Travel Demand Model
RTP	Regional Transportation Plan
SAFETEA-LU	Safe, Accountable, Flexible, Efficient Transportation Equity Act: A Legacy for Users
Section 4(f)	Section 4(f) of the U.S. Department of Transportation Act
Section 6(f)	Section 6(f) of the federal Land and Water Conservation Fund Act
SEIS	Supplemental Environmental Impact Statement
SHPO	State Historic Preservation Office
SIP	State Implementation Plan
SMSA	Standard Metropolitan Statistical Area
SPUI	single-point urban interchange
SOI	species of interest
SPCC	spill prevention control and countermeasure

Abbreviation/Acronym	Definition
SR	State Route
SRKW	Southern Resident killer whale
SSA	sole source aquifer
SWPPP	Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan
TCM	transportation control measure
TCP	traditional cultural property
TDA	tire derived aggregate
TDM	transportation demand management
TMDL	Total Maximum Daily Load
TMP	Transportation Management Plan
TPSS	traction power substation
TriMet	Tri-County Metropolitan Transportation District of Oregon
TSM	transportation system management
Uniform Act	Uniform Relocation Assistance and Real Property Acquisition Policies Act of 1970 (as amended)
USACE	U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
USC	U.S. Code
USCG	U.S. Coast Guard
USDOT	U.S. Department of Transportation
USFWS	U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
V/C	volume-to-capacity
V/m	volts per meter
VdB	velocity of vibration in decibels
VHD	vehicle hours of delay
VHT	vehicle hours of travel

Abbreviation/Acronym	Definition
VMC	Vancouver Municipal Code
VMT	vehicle miles traveled
VNC	vertical navigation clearance
VNHR	Vancouver National Historic Reserve
VPRCS	Vancouver Parks, Recreation and Cultural Services
WAC	Washington Administrative Code
WDFW	Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
WQPMP	Water Quality Protection and Monitoring Plan
WSDOT	Washington State Department of Transportation
WSP	Washington State Patrol
WSTC	Washington State Transportation Commission