

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

<b>1. PURPOSE AND NEED</b> .....	<b>1-1</b>
1.1 Importance of the I-5 Corridor and the Interstate Bridge .....	1-1
1.2 Confirming the I-5 Columbia River Crossing Project’s Purpose and Need .....	1-1
1.3 Purpose and Need for the IBR Program .....	1-3
1.3.1 Program Purpose.....	1-3
1.3.2 Program Needs .....	1-4
1.4 Compliance with NEPA Regulations.....	1-7
1.5 Vision and Values .....	1-9
1.5.1 Community Livability .....	1-10
1.5.2 Mobility, Reliability, Accessibility, Congestion Reduction, and Efficiency .....	1-10
1.5.3 Modal Choice.....	1-10
1.5.4 Safety .....	1-10
1.5.5 Regional Economy and Freight Mobility .....	1-10
1.5.6 Stewardship of Natural and Human Resources .....	1-11
1.5.7 Distribution of Impacts and Benefits.....	1-11
1.5.8 Cost-Effectiveness and Financial Resources .....	1-11
1.5.9 Bi-State Cooperation .....	1-11
1.6 Next Steps.....	1-11
<b>2. DESCRIPTION OF ALTERNATIVES</b> .....	<b>2-1</b>
2.1 Introduction .....	2-1
2.2 Components of the Modified LPA .....	2-4
2.2.1 Interstate 5 Mainline .....	2-8
2.2.2 Portland Mainland and Hayden Island (Subarea A).....	2-12
2.2.3 Columbia River Bridges (Subarea B) .....	2-21
2.2.4 Downtown Vancouver (Subarea C).....	2-38
2.2.5 Upper Vancouver (Subarea D).....	2-41
2.2.6 Transit Support Facilities.....	2-44
2.2.7 Transit Operating Characteristics.....	2-46
2.2.8 Tolling.....	2-49
2.2.9 Transportation System- and Demand-Management Measures .....	2-51
2.3 Modified LPA Construction .....	2-51
2.3.1 Construction Components and Duration .....	2-52

2.3.2	Potential Staging Sites and Casting Yards.....	2-53
2.4	No-Build Alternative.....	2-54
2.5	Development of the Modified LPA.....	2-54
2.5.1	Selected Alternative in the 2011 Record of Decision and Subsequent Modifications in 2012 to 2013.....	2-54
2.5.2	Updating the CRC LPA.....	2-55
2.5.3	IBR Design Option Development and Screening.....	2-66
2.5.4	Adopting Foundational Components of the Modified LPA.....	2-77
2.6	Additional Compliance Underway.....	2-77
2.7	Anticipated Permits and Approvals.....	2-80
<b>3.</b>	<b>EXISTING CONDITIONS AND ENVIRONMENTAL CONSEQUENCES.....</b>	<b>3-1</b>
3.1	Transportation.....	3.1-1
3.1.1	Changes and New Information Since 2013.....	3.1-2
3.1.2	Existing Conditions.....	3.1-3
3.1.3	Long-Term Effects.....	3.1-18
3.1.4	Temporary Effects.....	3.1-46
3.1.5	Indirect Impacts.....	3.1-48
3.1.6	Potential Avoidance, Minimization, and Mitigation Measures.....	3.1-50
3.2	Navigation.....	3.2-1
3.2.1	Changes or New Information Since 2013.....	3.2-1
3.2.2	Existing Conditions.....	3.2-2
3.2.3	Long-Term Effects.....	3.2-7
3.2.4	Temporary Effects.....	3.2-16
3.2.5	Indirect Effects.....	3.2-17
3.2.6	Potential Avoidance, Minimization, and Mitigation Measures.....	3.2-18
3.3	Property Acquisitions and Displacements.....	3.3-1
3.3.1	Changes or New Information Since 2013.....	3.3-1
3.3.2	Existing Conditions.....	3.3-2
3.3.3	Long-Term Benefits and Effects.....	3.3-4
3.3.4	Temporary Effects.....	3.3-13
3.3.5	Indirect Effects.....	3.3-14
3.3.6	Potential Avoidance, Minimization, and Mitigation Measures.....	3.3-14
3.4	Land Use and Economic Activity.....	3.4-1
3.4.1	Changes or New Information Since 2013.....	3.4-1
3.4.2	Existing Conditions.....	3.4-4

3.4.3 Long-Term Benefits and Effects..... 3.4-16

3.4.4 Temporary Effects ..... 3.4-36

3.4.5 Indirect Effects..... 3.4-38

3.4.6 Potential Avoidance, Minimization, and Mitigation Measures ..... 3.4-40

3.5 Neighborhoods and Equity..... 3.5-1

3.5.1 Changes or New Information Since 2013.....3.5-1

3.5.2 Existing Conditions.....3.5-6

3.5.3 Engagement Activities and Consultation..... 3.5-13

3.5.4 Long-Term Benefits and Effects..... 3.5-14

3.5.5 Temporary Effects ..... 3.5-26

3.5.6 Indirect Effects ..... 3.5-28

3.5.7 Potential Avoidance, Minimization, and Mitigation Measures ..... 3.5-29

3.6 Public Services and Utilities ..... 3.6-1

3.6.1 Changes or New Information Since 2013.....3.6-1

3.6.2 Existing Conditions.....3.6-2

3.6.3 Long-Term Benefits and Effects.....3.6-7

3.6.4 Temporary Effects ..... 3.6-10

3.6.5 Indirect Effects..... 3.6-13

3.6.6 Potential Avoidance, Minimization, and Mitigation Measures ..... 3.6-13

3.7 Parks and Recreation ..... 3.7-1

3.7.1 Changes or New Information Since 2013.....3.7-1

3.7.2 Existing Conditions.....3.7-2

3.7.3 Long-Term Benefits and Effects.....3.7-7

3.7.4 Temporary Effects ..... 3.7-19

3.7.5 Indirect Effects..... 3.7-24

3.7.6 Potential Avoidance, Minimization, and Mitigation Measures ..... 3.7-24

3.8 Cultural Resources ..... 3.8-1

3.8.1 Changes or New Information Since 2013.....3.8-4

3.8.2 Existing Conditions.....3.8-5

3.8.3 Direct Effects ..... 3.8-25

3.8.4 Indirect Effects..... 3.8-43

3.8.5 Program Planning to Avoid or Minimize Effects to Cultural Resources..... 3.8-43

3.8.6 Mitigation and Programmatic Agreement ..... 3.8-44

3.9 Visual Quality ..... 3.9-1

- 3.9.1 Changes or New Information Since 2013.....3.9-1
- 3.9.2 Existing Conditions.....3.9-2
- 3.9.3 Long-Term Benefits and Effects.....3.9-6
- 3.9.4 Temporary Effects ..... 3.9-25
- 3.9.5 Indirect Effects..... 3.9-26
- 3.9.6 Potential Avoidance, Minimization, and Mitigation Measures ..... 3.9-27
- 3.10 Air Quality..... 3.10-1
  - 3.10.1 Changes or New Information Since 2013..... 3.10-1
  - 3.10.2 Existing Conditions..... 3.10-3
  - 3.10.3 Long-Term Effects ..... 3.10-7
  - 3.10.4 Temporary Effects ..... 3.10-13
  - 3.10.5 Indirect Effects..... 3.10-13
  - 3.10.6 Potential Avoidance, Minimization, and Mitigation Measures ..... 3.10-14
- 3.11 Noise and Vibration ..... 3.11-1
  - 3.11.1 Changes or New Information Since 2013..... 3.11-1
  - 3.11.2 Existing Conditions..... 3.11-2
  - 3.11.3 Long-Term Effects ..... 3.11-12
  - 3.11.4 Temporary Effects ..... 3.11-29
  - 3.11.5 Indirect Effects..... 3.11-33
  - 3.11.6 Potential Avoidance, Minimization, and Mitigation Measures ..... 3.11-34
- 3.12 Energy..... 3.12-1
  - 3.12.1 Changes or New Information Since 2013..... 3.12-1
  - 3.12.2 Existing Conditions..... 3.12-2
  - 3.12.3 Long-Term Benefits and Effects..... 3.12-4
  - 3.12.4 Temporary Effects ..... 3.12-9
  - 3.12.5 Indirect Effects..... 3.12-9
  - 3.12.6 Potential Avoidance, Minimization, and Mitigation Measures ..... 3.12-10
- 3.13 Electric and Magnetic Fields..... 3.13-1
  - 3.13.1 Changes or New Information Since 2013..... 3.13-1
  - 3.13.2 Existing Conditions..... 3.13-2
  - 3.13.3 Long-Term Benefits and Effects..... 3.13-3
  - 3.13.4 Temporary Effects ..... 3.13-4
  - 3.13.5 Indirect Effects..... 3.13-4
  - 3.13.6 Potential Avoidance, Minimization, and Mitigation Measures ..... 3.13-4

3.14 Water Quality and Hydrology ..... 3.14-1

    3.14.1 Changes or New Information Since 2013..... 3.14-1

    3.14.2 Existing Conditions..... 3.14-2

    3.14.3 Long-Term Benefits and Effects..... 3.14-8

    3.14.4 Temporary Effects ..... 3.14-14

    3.14.5 Indirect Effects..... 3.14-16

    3.14.6 Potential Avoidance, Minimization, and Compensatory Mitigation Measures..... 3.14-17

3.15 Wetlands and Other Waters..... 3.15-1

    3.15.1 Changes or New Information Since 2013..... 3.15-1

    3.15.2 Existing Conditions..... 3.15-2

    3.15.3 Long-Term Benefits and Effects..... 3.15-9

    3.15.4 Temporary Effects ..... 3.15-13

    3.15.5 Indirect Effects..... 3.15-14

    3.15.6 Potential Avoidance, Minimization, and Compensatory Mitigation Measures..... 3.15-14

3.16 Ecosystems..... 3.16-1

    3.16.1 Changes or New Information Since 2013..... 3.16-1

    3.16.2 Existing Conditions..... 3.16-4

    3.16.3 Long-Term Benefits and Effects..... 3.16-19

    3.16.4 Temporary Effects ..... 3.16-28

    3.16.5 Indirect Effects..... 3.16-35

    3.16.6 Potential Avoidance, Minimization, and Mitigation Measures ..... 3.16-36

3.17 Geology and Groundwater ..... 3.17-1

    3.17.1 Changes or New Information Since 2013..... 3.17-1

    3.17.2 Existing Conditions..... 3.17-1

    3.17.3 Long-Term Benefits and Effects..... 3.17-4

    3.17.4 Temporary Effects ..... 3.17-7

    3.17.5 Indirect Effects..... 3.17-8

    3.17.6 Potential Avoidance, Minimization, and Mitigation Measures ..... 3.17-9

3.18 Hazardous Materials..... 3.18-1

    3.18.1 Changes or New Information Since 2013..... 3.18-1

    3.18.2 Existing Conditions..... 3.18-1

    3.18.3 Long-Term Benefits and Effects..... 3.18-19

    3.18.4 Temporary Effects ..... 3.18-22

    3.18.5 Indirect Effects..... 3.18-24

3.18.6 Potential Avoidance, Minimization, and Mitigation Measures .....	3.18-24
3.19 Climate Change.....	3.19-1
3.19.1 Changes or New Information Since 2013.....	3.19-1
3.19.2 Expected Future Conditions Resulting from Climate Change .....	3.19-4
3.19.3 Designing for Resilience in a Changing Climate .....	3.19-5
3.19.4 Federal Policy Context for Climate.....	3.19-7
3.19.5 Washington and Oregon Policy Context for Climate .....	3.19-7
3.19.6 Existing Emissions Sources .....	3.19-9
3.19.7 Summary of Climate Benefits .....	3.19-10
3.19.8 Long-Term Benefits and Effects.....	3.19-12
3.19.9 Construction Effects.....	3.19-18
3.19.10 Indirect Effects.....	3.19-20
3.19.11 Cumulative Greenhouse Gas Changes and the Social Cost of Carbon .....	3.19-20
3.19.12 Potential Avoidance, Minimization, and Mitigation Measures .....	3.19-22
3.20 Environmental Justice .....	3.20-1
3.20.1 Changes or New Information Since 2013.....	3.20-1
3.20.2 Affected Environment .....	3.20-3
3.20.3 Engagement Activities .....	3.20-13
3.20.4 Long-Term Effects .....	3.20-14
3.20.5 Temporary Effects .....	3.20-31
3.20.6 Indirect Effects.....	3.20-36
3.20.7 Potential Avoidance, Minimization, and Mitigation Measures .....	3.20-37
3.20.8 Environmental Justice – Preliminary Determination Summary of Environmental Justice Impacts.....	3.20-43
3.21 Section 6(f) and Federal Lands to Parks.....	3.21-1
3.21.1 Changes or New Information Since 2013.....	3.21-1
3.21.2 Relevant Laws and Regulations.....	3.21-1
3.21.3 Existing Conditions.....	3.21-2
3.21.4 Long-Term Benefits and Effects.....	3.21-5
3.21.5 Temporary Effects .....	3.21-9
3.21.6 Potential Avoidance, Minimization, and Mitigation Measures .....	3.21-10
3.22 Aviation .....	3.22-1
3.22.1 Changes or New Information Since 2013.....	3.22-1
3.22.2 Existing Conditions.....	3.22-2
3.22.3 Long-Term Effects .....	3.22-4

3.22.4 Temporary Effects .....	3.22-8
3.22.5 Indirect Effects.....	3.22-9
3.22.6 Potential Avoidance, Minimization, and Mitigation Measures .....	3.22-9
3.23 Cumulative Effects.....	3.23-1
3.23.1 Changes or New Information Since 2013.....	3.23-1
3.23.2 Past, Present, and Reasonably Foreseeable Future Actions .....	3.23-2
3.23.3 Cumulative Effects .....	3.23-6
3.23.4 Temporary Cumulative Effects .....	3.23-45
3.23.5 Irreversible and Irretrievable Commitments of Resources.....	3.23-47
<b>4. DRAFT SECTION 4(F) EVALUATION .....</b>	<b>4-1</b>
4.1 Introduction .....	4-1
4.1.1 Permanent Incorporation.....	4-2
4.1.2 <i>De Minimis</i> Impact.....	4-2
4.1.3 Temporary Occupancy.....	4-3
4.1.4 Constructive Use.....	4-3
4.1.5 Summary of 2011 Final Section 4(f) Findings .....	4-4
4.1.6 IBR Draft Section 4(f) Evaluation .....	4-7
4.2 IBR Section 4(f) Properties.....	4-7
4.2.1 Section 4(f) Park and Recreation Properties in the IBR Program Study Area .....	4-7
4.2.2 Section 4(f) Historic Sites in the IBR Program Study Area .....	4-19
4.3 Evaluation of Use of Section 4(f) Properties .....	4-28
4.3.1 Section Organization.....	4-28
4.3.2 Summary of Use of Park, Recreational Areas, Waterfowl Refuges by the IBR Program Modified LPA with All Design Options .....	4-28
4.3.3 Use of Section 4(f) Park and Recreation Properties (Oregon) .....	4-32
4.3.4 Use of Section 4(f) Park and Recreation Properties (Washington) .....	4-36
4.3.5 Summary of Use of Historic Sites by the IBR Program Modified LPA with All Design Options .....	4-63
4.3.6 Use of Section 4(f) Historic Sites (Oregon).....	4-68
4.3.7 Use of the Interstate Bridge.....	4-83
4.3.8 Use of Section 4(f) Historic Sites (Washington).....	4-85
4.4 Avoidance Alternatives .....	4-116
4.5 Measures to Minimize Harm .....	4-120
4.5.1 Minimizing Harm to Resources in Oregon .....	4-122
4.5.2 Minimizing Harm to the Northbound Interstate Bridge.....	4-125

4.5.3 Minimizing Harm to Resources in Washington.....4-125

4.6 Least Overall Harm Analysis ..... 4-130

4.6.1 Ability to Mitigate Adverse Impacts to Each Section 4(f) Property, Including Any Measures That Result in Benefits.....4-132

4.6.2 The Relative Severity of the Remaining Harm after Mitigation to the Protected Activities, Attributes, or Features That Qualify Each Section 4(f) Property for Protection.4-133

4.6.3 Relative Significance of Each Section 4(f) Property.....4-135

4.6.4 Views of Officials with Jurisdiction over Each Section 4(f) Property .....4-135

4.6.5 Degree to Which Each Alternative Meets the Purpose and Need for the Program.....4-136

4.6.6 After Reasonable Mitigation, the Magnitude of Any Adverse Impacts to Resources Not Protected by Section 4(f) .....4-138

4.6.7 Substantial Differences in Costs Among the Alternatives.....4-141

4.6.8 Conclusion of Least Overall Harm Analysis .....4-141

4.7 Coordination ..... 4-146

4.8 Preliminary Section 4(f) Findings..... 4-147

## LIST OF TABLES

### 1. Purpose and Need

No tables.

### 2. Description of Alternatives

Table 2-1. CRC Draft EIS Alternatives and Design Options..... 2-1

Table 2-2. Modified LPA and Design Options..... 2-7

Table 2-3. Summary of Bridge Configurations ..... 2-35

Table 2-4. Proposed TriMet and C-TRAN Bus Route Changes..... 2-48

Table 2-5. Construction Activities and Estimated Duration..... 2-52

Table 2-6. Comparison of CRC LPA and Modified LPA ..... 2-56

Table 2-7. Tradeoffs and Benefits Between Hayden Island/Marine Drive Design Options 1 and 5 ..... 2-67

Table 2-8. Tradeoffs and Benefits between River Crossing Design Options 1 and 3 ..... 2-69

Table 2-9. Representative Transit Investment Descriptions..... 2-72

Table 2-10. Summary of Transit Mode Evaluation ..... 2-73

Table 2-11. Summary of Initial Auxiliary Lanes Evaluation Results ..... 2-76

Table 2-12. Navigation Considerations ..... 2-79

Table 2-13. Anticipated Permits and Approvals..... 2-80



### 3. Existing Conditions and Environmental Consequences

No tables.

#### 3.1 Transportation

Table 3.1-1. Existing Regional Roadways in Study Area .....	3.1-4
Table 3.1-2. Regional Travel Measures – Existing 2015 Daily Vehicle Miles Traveled, Vehicle Hours Traveled, and Vehicle Hours of Delay .....	3.1-5
Table 3.1-3. Weekday AM and PM Peak-Period Bottleneck Locations When Speeds Are below 45 mph – 2019 Existing Conditions .....	3.1-6
Table 3.1-4. I-5 Average Weekday AM and PM Peak-Period Travel Times between I-205 and I-405 in North Portland – 2019 Existing Conditions .....	3.1-7
Table 3.1-5. I-5 Highway Performance for Southbound AM and PM Peak – 2019 Existing Conditions.....	3.1-9
Table 3.1-6. I-5 Highway Performance for Northbound AM and PM Peak – 2019 Existing Conditions.....	3.1-10
Table 3.1-7. Vancouver Intersections Not Meeting Agency Standards (2019 Existing Conditions) ..	3.1-14
Table 3.1-8. Portland Intersections Not Meeting Agency Standards (2019 Existing Conditions) .....	3.1-14
Table 3.1-9. Existing 2019 Average Weekday Transit Ridership.....	3.1-16
Table 3.1-10. 2045 Weekday Daily Vehicle Miles Traveled, Vehicle Hours Traveled, and Vehicle Hours of Delay .....	3.1-19
Table 3.1-11. 2045 Forecast Average Weekday Daily Traffic Volumes on I-5 and I-205.....	3.1-21
Table 3.1-12. Future Year 2045 Average Weekday Bottleneck Summary When Speeds Are below 45 mph.....	3.1-25
Table 3.1-13. 2045 Forecast I-5 Weekday Southbound AM Peak-Period Average Travel Times .....	3.1-29
Table 3.1-14. 2045 Forecast I-5 Weekday Southbound PM Peak-Period Average Travel Times .....	3.1-29
Table 3.1-15. 2045 Forecast I-5 Weekday Northbound AM Peak-Period Average Travel Times.....	3.1-29
Table 3.1-16. 2045 Forecast I-5 Weekday Northbound PM Peak-Period Average Travel Times.....	3.1-30
Table 3.1-17. 2015 and 2045 Average Weekday Corridor Transit Service Characteristics .....	3.1-36
Table 3.1-18. 2045 Weekday Daily Systemwide and Corridor Transit Trips .....	3.1-37
Table 3.1-19. 2045 Modified LPA Light-Rail Transit Station Usage (Boardings and Alightings) by Mode of Access and Egress, Year 2045.....	3.1-38
Table 3.1-20. 2045 Average Weekday AM and PM Peak Total Transit Travel Time for Selected Corridor Locations (minutes) .....	3.1-39
Table 3.1-21. 2045 Measures of Transit Reliability in the I-5 Corridor.....	3.1-41

#### 3.2 Navigation

Table 3.2-1. Comparison of CRC LPA Effects and IBR Modified LPA Effects on Navigation .....	3.2-2
Table 3.2-2. Widths and Depths of Federally Authorized Navigation Projects in the Study Area .....	3.2-4

Table 3.2-3. Summary of Vertical Clearance Requirements and Frequency of Use ..... 3.2-6

Table 3.2-4. Columbia River Navigation Simulation Pilot Observations for the Modified LPA ..... 3.2-10

Table 3.2-5. River Users Restricted by a 116-foot Bridge under the Modified LPA Fixed-Span Configurations..... 3.2-12

Table 3.2-6. Summary of Long-Term Effects to Columbia River Navigation from the No-Build Alternative and Modified LPA ..... 3.2-14

### 3.3 Property Acquisitions and Displacements

Table 3.3-1. Comparison of CRC LPA Effects and IBR Modified LPA Effects ..... 3.3-1

Table 3.3-2. Summary of Permanent Property Acquisitions and Displacements for the Modified LPA in Oregon..... 3.3-7

Table 3.3-3. Summary of Permanent Property Acquisitions and Displacements for the No-Build Alternative and the Modified LPA in Washington ..... 3.3-8

Table 3.3-4. Summary of Permanent Property Acquisitions and Displacements for the Waterfront Park-and-Ride Facility in Downtown Vancouver ..... 3.3-11

Table 3.3-5. Summary of Permanent Property Acquisitions and Displacements for the Evergreen Park-and-Ride Facility in Downtown Vancouver ..... 3.3-11

Table 3.3-6. Summary of Temporary Construction Easements..... 3.3-13

### 3.4 Land Use and Economic Activity

Table 3.4-1. Comparison of CRC LPA Effects and Modified LPA Effects ..... 3.4-2

Table 3.4-2. Largest Employers in the Portland-Vancouver Metropolitan Statistical Area ..... 3.4-13

Table 3.4-3. Population Forecast in the Primary Study Area ..... 3.4-14

Table 3.4-4. Household Forecast in the Primary Study Area ..... 3.4-15

Table 3.4-5. Employment Forecast in the Primary Study Area ..... 3.4-15

Table 3.4-6. Summary of No-Build Alternative and Modified LPA Effects on Land Use and Economics..... 3.4-17

Table 3.4-7. Oregon Right-of-Way Acquisitions by Zoning Designation ..... 3.4-19

Table 3.4-8. Washington Right-of-Way Acquisitions by Zone and Design Option ..... 3.4-21

Table 3.4-9. Acquisition Impacts by Park-and-Ride Option ..... 3.4-23

Table 3.4-10. IBR Program Consistency with Relevant Land Use and Transportation Plans..... 3.4-24

Table 3.4-11. Business Displacements from the Modified LPA..... 3.4-31

Table 3.4-12. Property Tax Reduction from the Modified LPA Design Options..... 3.4-31

Table 3.4-13. Clark County Property Tax Reductions ..... 3.4-32

### 3.5 Neighborhoods and Equity

Table 3.5-1. Comparison of CRC LPA Effects and IBR Modified LPA Effects on Neighborhoods and Equity ..... 3.5-3

Table 3.5-2. Race/Ethnicity for Oregon Study Area Neighborhoods, Portland, and Multnomah County ..... 3.5-8

Table 3.5-3. Race/Ethnicity for Washington Study Area Neighborhoods, Vancouver, and Clark County ..... 3.5-9

Table 3.5-4. Demographic Characteristics for Oregon Study Area Neighborhoods, Portland, and Multnomah County ..... 3.5-9

Table 3.5-5. Demographic Characteristics for Washington Study Area Neighborhoods, Vancouver, and Clark County..... 3.5-10

Table 3.5-6. Population Age for Oregon Study Area Neighborhoods, Portland, and Multnomah County ..... 3.5-11

Table 3.5-7. Population Age for Washington Study Area Neighborhoods, Vancouver, and Clark County ..... 3.5-11

Table 3.5-8. Percentage of Equity Priority Communities within the IBR Program Area and Portland-Vancouver Metropolitan Area ..... 3.5-12

Table 3.5-9. Summary of No-Build Alternative and Modified LPA Effects on Neighborhoods ..... 3.5-15

Table 3.5-10. Summary of No-Build Alternative and Modified LPA Effects on Equity ..... 3.5-16

Table 3.5-11. Overview of Potential Effects on Oregon Neighborhoods ..... 3.5-18

Table 3.5-12. Overview of Anticipated Effects on Vancouver Neighborhoods..... 3.5-18

Table 3.5-13. Transit Access Improvements for Equity Priority Residents: Percentage Increase in Jobs Access in a 45-Minute Trip..... 3.5-21

Table 3.5-14. Driving Access Improvements for Equity Priority Community Residents in the Program Area: Percentage Increase in Jobs Access with the Modified LPA Compared to No-Build Alternative (45-minute Travel Time) ..... 3.5-23

Table 3.5-15. Driving Access Improvements for Equity Priority Community Residents in the Portland-Vancouver Metropolitan Area: Percentage Increase in Jobs Access with the Modified LPA Compared to No-Build Alternative (45-minute Travel Time) ..... 3.5-23

Table 3.5-16. Overview of Impacts to Equity Priority Communities in the Study Area ..... 3.5-25

Table 3.5-17. Overview of Temporary Effects to Equity Priority Communities in the Study Area .... 3.5-27

### 3.6 Public Services and Utilities

Table 3.6-1. Comparison of CRC LPA Effects and IBR Modified LPA Effects ..... 3.6-1

Table 3.6-2. Public Service Providers in the Study Area ..... 3.6-5

Table 3.6-3. Mobile Public Service Critical Emergency Access Routes ..... 3.6-5

Table 3.6-4. Utilities within the Study Area..... 3.6-6

Table 3.6-5. Summary of Modified LPA and No-Build Alternative Effects on Public Services and Utilities ..... 3.6-8

### 3.7 Parks and Recreation

Table 3.7-1. Comparison of CRC LPA Effects and IBR Modified LPA Effects ..... 3.7-1

Table 3.7-2. Parks and Recreation Facilities – Location, Jurisdiction, and Amenities..... 3.7-4

Table 3.7-3. Long-Term Effects on Parks and Recreation Facilities from the Modified LPA (No Difference between the Design Options)..... 3.7-7

Table 3.7-4. Comparison of Long-Term Effects on Parks and Recreation Facilities from the Modified LPA Options ..... 3.7-9

Table 3.7-5. Summary of Long-Term Effects from the No-Build Alternative, Modified LPA, and Design Options on Parks and Recreation Facilities and Trails..... 3.7-13

Table 3.7-6. Modified LPA Temporary Effects on Park and Recreation Resources..... 3.7-20

### 3.8 Cultural Resources

Table 3.8-1. Comparison of CRC LPA Effects and IBR Modified LPA Effects ..... 3.8-5

Table 3.8-2. Archaeological Sites within the Washington Portion of the Area of Potential Effect.... 3.8-13

Table 3.8-3. Oregon Historic Built Environment Resources..... 3.8-15

Table 3.8-4. Interstate Historic Built Environment Resources..... 3.8-17

Table 3.8-5. Washington Historic Built Environment Resources..... 3.8-19

Table 3.8-6. Summary of No-Build Alternative and Modified LPA Effects on Cultural Resources .... 3.8-25

Table 3.8-7. Direct Effects of the Modified LPA on Oregon Historic Built Environment Resources .. 3.8-31

Table 3.8-8. Direct Effects of the Modified LPA on Interstate Historic Built Environment Resources..... 3.8-33

Table 3.8-9. Direct Effects of the Modified LPA on Washington Historic Built Environment Resources..... 3.8-34

### 3.9 Visual Quality

Table 3.9-1. Comparison of CRC LPA Effects and IBR Modified LPA Effects ..... 3.9-1

Table 3.9-2 Visual Character of Landscape Units ..... 3.9-4

Table 3.9-3. Viewer Groups, Viewer Sensitivity, and Existing Visual Quality Ratings for Landscape Units ..... 3.9-6

Table 3.9-4. Summary of No-Build Alternative and Modified LPA Effects on Visual Quality ..... 3.9-7

Table 3.9-5. Columbia Slough Landscape Unit Degree of Impact on Visual Quality ..... 3.9-10

Table 3.9-6. Columbia River Landscape Unit Degree of Impact on Visual Quality ..... 3.9-15

Table 3.9-7. Vancouver Downtown Landscape Unit Degree of Impact on Visual Quality..... 3.9-18

Table 3.9-8. Greater Central Park Landscape Unit Degree of Impact on Visual Quality ..... 3.9-22

Table 3.9-9. Burnt Bridge Creek Landscape Unit Degree of Impact on Visual Quality..... 3.9-24

Table 3.9-10. Ruby Junction Landscape Unit Degree of Impact on Visual Quality..... 3.9-24

### 3.10 Air Quality

Table 3.10-1. Comparison of CRC LPA Effects and IBR Modified LPA Effects ..... 3.10-2

Table 3.10-2. Sensitive Receptors Near the Program Footprint..... 3.10-6

Table 3.10-3. Summary of Air Quality Effects of the No-Build Alternative and the Modified LPA as Compared to Existing Conditions..... 3.10-8

Table 3.10-4. Mobile Source Air Toxics Emissions (Tons per Year).....	3.10-10
Table 3.10-5. Criteria Pollutant Emissions (Tons per Year) .....	3.10-10
Table 3.10-6. Mobile Source Air Toxics Emissions for the Modified LPA with One or Two Auxiliary Lanes (Tons per Year) .....	3.10-11
Table 3.10-7. Criteria Pollutant Emissions for the Modified LPA with One or Two Auxiliary Lanes (Tons per Year).....	3.10-12

### 3.11 Noise and Vibration

Table 3.11-1. Comparison of CRC LPA Effects and IBR Modified LPA Effects .....	3.11-2
Table 3.11-2. FHWA Traffic Noise Abatement Criteria by Land Use Category .....	3.11-4
Table 3.11-3. Washington State Noise Control Regulation .....	3.11-5
Table 3.11-4. Washington State Exemptions for Short-Term Noise Exceedances .....	3.11-5
Table 3.11-5. Oregon Department of Environmental Quality Industrial and Commercial Noise Source Standards.....	3.11-7
Table 3.11-6. FTA Ground-Borne Vibration and Noise Impact Criteria .....	3.11-9
Table 3.11-7. Ground-Borne Vibration and Noise Impact Levels for Special Buildings .....	3.11-9
Table 3.11-8. Noise and Vibration Impacts and Benefits for the Modified LPA Bridge Configurations and Auxiliary Lane Options and No-Build Alternative.....	3.11-14
Table 3.11-9. Modified LPA $L_{eq}$ and $L_{dn}$ for Transit Operations.....	3.11-28
Table 3.11-10. Projected Transit Vibration Effects under the Modified LPA .....	3.11-29
Table 3.11-11. Typical Construction Equipment, Use, and Reference Maximum Noise Level.....	3.11-30
Table 3.11-12. Noise Levels for Typical Construction Scenarios at 50 Feet from Work Site.....	3.11-33
Table 3.11-13. Noise Wall Analysis Summary .....	3.11-36
Table 3.11-14. Noise Mitigation Analysis.....	3.11-46
Table 3.11-15. Modified LPA Vibration Mitigation Analysis .....	3.11-48
Table 3.11-16. Comparison of Effects of the Modified LPA with the Double-Deck Bridge Configuration and One Auxiliary Lane without and with Mitigation .....	3.11-48
Table 3.11-17. Noise/Vibration Mitigation Summary.....	3.11-49

### 3.12 Energy

Table 3.12-1. Comparison of CRC LPA Effects and IBR Modified LPA Effects .....	3.12-1
Table 3.12-2. Summary of Energy Effects from the Modified LPA, the Design Options, and the No-Build Alternative .....	3.12-5
Table 3.12-3. Daily Energy Consumption in the Study Area and Traffic Assignment Area .....	3.12-6
Table 3.12-4. Modified LPA Transit Operations Energy Consumption.....	3.12-8
Table 3.12-5. Modified LPA Energy Consumption from Construction Activities.....	3.12-9

### 3.13 Electric and Magnetic Fields

Table 3.13-1. Comparison of CRC LPA Effects and IBR Modified LPA Effects ..... 3.13-1

Table 3.13-2. Exposure Guidelines for Power Frequency (60 Hz) Electromagnetic Fields ..... 3.13-2

Table 3.13-3. Magnetic Field Strength at Distance from TriMet’s Light-Rail Tracks (mG) ..... 3.13-3

Table 3.13-4. Summary of No-Build Alternative and Modified LPA Effects on Electric and  
Magnetic Fields..... 3.13-3

### 3.14 Water Quality and Hydrology

Table 3.14-1. Comparison of CRC LPA Effects and IBR Modified LPA Effects ..... 3.14-2

Table 3.14-2. Water Quality-Limited Waterways within the Study Area ..... 3.14-7

Table 3.14-3. Existing Impervious Area and Treated and Untreated Stormwater (acres) ..... 3.14-8

Table 3.14-4. Summary of Water Quality and Hydrology Effects from the Modified LPA Design  
Options and No-Build Alternative ..... 3.14-9

Table 3.14-5. Contributing Impervious Area by Bridge Configuration..... 3.14-11

Table 3.14-6. Contributing Impervious Area and Annual Pollutant Load Estimates for the  
Modified LPA..... 3.14-12

### 3.15 Wetlands and Other Waters

Table 3.15-1. Comparison of CRC LPA Effects and IBR Modified LPA Effects ..... 3.15-2

Table 3.15-2. Wetlands Identified within the Study Area in Oregon ..... 3.15-4

Table 3.15-3. Wetlands Identified within the Study Area in Washington ..... 3.15-6

Table 3.15-4. Other Jurisdictional and Potential Jurisdictional Waters within the Study Area..... 3.15-8

Table 3.15-5. Long-Term Impacts to Wetlands and Other Waters from the Modified LPA ..... 3.15-8

Table 3.15-6. Long-Term Effects Comparison of Alternatives and Bridge Configurations ..... 3.15-13

### 3.16 Ecosystems

Table 3.16-1. Comparison of CRC LPA Effects and IBR Modified LPA Effects ..... 3.16-2

Table 3.16-2. Aquatic Species of Interest Potentially Occurring within the Study Area ..... 3.16-9

Table 3.16-3. Acres of Terrestrial Habitat Classification within the Primary and Secondary  
Study Areas ..... 3.16-12

Table 3.16-4. Terrestrial Species of Interest Potentially Occurring within the Study Area ..... 3.16-14

Table 3.16-5. Botanical Species of Interest Potentially Occurring within the Primary Study  
Area..... 3.16-16

Table 3.16-6. Noxious Weed Species Occurring within the Primary Study Area..... 3.16-18

Table 3.16-7. Federal, State, and Local Habitat Designations within the Study Areas ..... 3.16-18

Table 3.16-8. Summary of Ecosystems Effects from the Modified LPA, Including Design Options,  
and No-Build Alternative ..... 3.16-20

Table 3.16-9. Permanent Benthic Habitat and Overwater Shading Aquatic Impacts Summary .... 3.16-22

Table 3.16-10. Post-Project Increase in Contributing Impervious Area..... 3.16-25

Table 3.16-11. Permanent Loss of Sensitive Terrestrial Habitats..... 3.16-26

Table 3.16-12. Temporary Aquatic Habitat Impacts Summary ..... 3.16-30

Table 3.16-13. Temporary Disturbance of Sensitive Terrestrial Habitats..... 3.16-33

### 3.17 Geology and Groundwater

Table 3.17-1. Summary of No-Build Alternative and Modified LPA Effects on Geology and Groundwater ..... 3.17-5

### 3.18 Hazardous Materials

Table 3.18-1. Comparison of CRC LPA Effects and IBR Modified LPA Effects ..... 3.18-2

Table 3.18-2. Summary of Recommendations for Identified RECs – Washington ..... 3.18-14

Table 3.18-3. Summary of Recommendations for Identified RECs – Oregon..... 3.18-15

Table 3.18-4. Comparison of Long-Term Benefits and Effects on and from Hazardous Materials..... 3.18-19

### 3.19 Climate Change

Table 3.19-1. Comparison of CRC LPA Effects and IBR Modified LPA Effects ..... 3.19-3

Table 3.19-2. Washington and Oregon Transportation Transition Policies ..... 3.19-8

Table 3.19-3. Climate-Related Objectives by IBR Program Phase..... 3.19-11

Table 3.19-4. Summary of No-Build Alternative and Modified LPA Effects on Climate..... 3.19-14

Table 3.19-5. 2045 Weekday Daily Vehicle Miles of Travel, Vehicle Hours of Travel, and Vehicle Hours of Delay ..... 3.19-15

Table 3.19-6. 2045 Weekday Daily Corridor Trips and Systemwide Transit Trips ..... 3.19-15

Table 3.19-7. Daily Regional Energy Consumption and CO<sub>2</sub>e Emissions (with Electric Vehicle Assumptions)..... 3.19-16

Table 3.19-8. Comparison of Energy Consumption and GHG Emissions, Traffic Assignment Subarea ..... 3.19-17

Table 3.19-9 Modified LPA Annualized CO<sub>2</sub>e Emissions from Maintenance Activities ..... 3.19-18

Table 3.19-10. Modified LPA Energy Consumption and GHG Emissions from Construction Activities..... 3.19-19

Table 3.19-11. Cumulative Emissions Estimates ..... 3.19-21

Table 3.19-12. Social Cost of All Greenhouse Gas Emission Changes Associated with IBR Program Cumulative Emissions (2025–2045) ..... 3.19-22

### 3.20 Environmental Justice

Table 3.20-1. Comparison of CRC LPA Effects and IBR Modified LPA Effects ..... 3.20-2

Table 3.20-2. Minority Populations in the Study Area (Portland and Vancouver) ..... 3.20-5

Table 3.20-3. Minority Populations in the Study Area (Gresham) ..... 3.20-5

Table 3.20-4. Minority Populations in the Study Area by Race and Ethnicity (Portland and Vancouver) ..... 3.20-7

Table 3.20-5. Minority Populations in the Study Area by Race and Ethnicity (Gresham) ..... 3.20-7

Table 3.20-6. Low-Income Populations as Defined for the IBR Program Environmental Justice Analysis ..... 3.20-8

Table 3.20-7. Low-Income Populations in the Study Area (Portland and Vancouver)..... 3.20-10

Table 3.20-8. Low-Income Populations in the Study Area (Gresham) ..... 3.20-10

Table 3.20-9. High-Priority and Meaningfully Greater Reference Values for EJ Areas ..... 3.20-13

Table 3.20-10. IBR Environmental Resource Topics – Potential Impacts to Environmental Justice Populations..... 3.20-18

Table 3.20-11. Comparison of No-Build Alternative and Modified LPA Design Options ..... 3.20-21

Table 3.20-12. Summary of Potential Long-Term Effects from the Modified LPA on Environmental Justice Populations ..... 3.20-22

Table 3.20-13. Summary of Potential Temporary Effects on EJ Populations from the Modified LPA..... 3.20-32

### 3.21 Section 6(f) and Federal Lands to Parks

No tables.

### 3.22 Aviation

Table 3.22-1. Comparison of CRC LPA Effects and IBR Modified LPA Effects to Aviation..... 3.22-2

Table 3.22-2. Long-Term Effects on Pearson Field Aviation Surfaces and Departure Procedures... 3.22-7

### 3.23 Cumulative Impacts

No tables.

## 4. Draft Section 4(f) Evaluation

Table 4-1. Summary Information about Section 4(f) Park and Recreation Properties in the IBR Program Study Area..... 4-8

Table 4-2. Summary Information about Section 4(f) Historic Sites in the IBR Program Study Area ... 4-22

Table 4-3. Summary of Section 4(f) Evaluation for IBR Program Modified LPA Design Options for Park and Recreational Properties ..... 4-30

Table 4-4. Permanent and Temporary Use under the IBR Program Design Options for the Fort Vancouver National Historic Site..... 4-57

Table 4-5. Summary of Section 4(f) Evaluation for Historic Sites for IBR Program Modified LPA Design Options ..... 4-65

Table 4-6. Historic Sites (Portland) – No Use under Section 4(f) ..... 4-68

Table 4-7. Historic Sites (Vancouver) – No Use under Section 4(f) ..... 4-86

Table 4-8. Section 4(f) Properties with Use with a Greater than *de Minimis* Impact by the IBR Program Modified LPA with All Design Options..... 4-120



Table 4-9. Use with Greater than <i>de Minimis</i> Impact of Section 4(f) Properties by Alternative .....	4-130
Table 4-10. Relative Significance of Section 4(f) Properties with Use with a Greater than <i>de Minimis</i> Impact .....	4-135
Table 4-11. Cost Estimates.....	4-141
Table 4-12. Summary of Least Overall Harm Analysis .....	4-142

## LIST OF FIGURES

### 1. Purpose and Need

Figure 1-1. Program Vicinity .....	1-2
Figure 1-2. Crash Blocking the Interstate Bridge.....	1-5
Figure 1-3. Bicycle and Pedestrian Path on the Interstate Bridge.....	1-6

### 2. Description of Alternatives

Figure 2-1. IBR Program Location Overview .....	2-3
Figure 2-2. Modified LPA Components .....	2-6
Figure 2-3. Modified LPA – Geographic Subareas.....	2-7
Figure 2-4. Cross Section of the Collector-Distributor Roadways .....	2-9
Figure 2-5. Collector-Distributor Roadways .....	2-10
Figure 2-6. Comparison of Auxiliary Lane Configurations.....	2-11
Figure 2-7. Auxiliary Lane Configuration Footprint Differences .....	2-12
Figure 2-8. Portland Mainland and Hayden Island (Subarea A).....	2-13
Figure 2-9. Levee Systems in Subarea A.....	2-15
Figure 2-10. Vehicle Circulation between Hayden Island and the Portland Mainland.....	2-19
Figure 2-11. Columbia River Bridges (Subarea B) .....	2-21
Figure 2-12. Bridge Foundation Concept .....	2-22
Figure 2-13. Existing Navigation Clearances of the Interstate Bridge.....	2-24
Figure 2-14. Profile and Navigation Clearances of the Proposed Modified LPA Columbia River Bridges with a Double-Deck Fixed-Span Configuration.....	2-24
Figure 2-15. Conceptual Drawing of a Double-Deck Fixed-Span Configuration .....	2-25
Figure 2-16. Cross Section of the Double-Deck Fixed-Span Configuration .....	2-26
Figure 2-17. Conceptual Drawings of Single-Level Fixed-Span Bridge Types .....	2-28
Figure 2-18. Cross Section of the Single-Level Fixed-Span Configuration (Extradosed or Finback Bridge Types).....	2-29
Figure 2-19. Conceptual Drawings of Single-Level Movable-Span Configurations in the Closed and Open Positions.....	2-31
Figure 2-20. Cross Section of the Single-Level Movable-Span Bridge Type .....	2-32

Figure 2-21. Bridge Configuration Footprint Comparison ..... 2-33

Figure 2-22. Bridge Configuration Profile Comparison ..... 2-34

Figure 2-23. Downtown Vancouver (Subarea C)..... 2-38

Figure 2-24. Upper Vancouver (Subarea D)..... 2-42

Figure 2-25. Ruby Junction Maintenance Facility Study Area..... 2-45

Figure 2-26. IBR Program Modifications to the CRC LPA Components..... 2-59

### 3. Existing Conditions and Environmental Consequences

No figures.

#### 3.1 Transportation

Figure 3.1-1. IBR Program Study Area ..... 3.1-2

Figure 3.1-2. Interstate Bridge Opening and Gate Closure Events 2012–2023..... 3.1-13

Figure 3.1-3. Forecast I-5 2045 Peak Period Congestion Index..... 3.1-28

#### 3.2 Navigation

Figure 3.2-1. Existing Navigation Channels Under the Interstate Bridge and BNSF Railway Bridge.. 3.2-5

Figure 3.2-2. Existing Interstate Bridge Navigation Clearances..... 3.2-6

Figure 3.2-3. Proposed Columbia River Navigation Channels under the Modified LPA..... 3.2-8

#### 3.3 Property Acquisitions and Displacements

Figure 3.3-1. Primary Study Area and Subareas..... 3.3-3

Figure 3.3-2. Potential Property Acquisitions..... 3.3-5

Figure 3.3-3. Detail of Property Acquisitions in Downtown Vancouver ..... 3.3-6

#### 3.4 Land Use and Economic Activity

Figure 3.4-1. Land Use Primary and Secondary Study Areas and Existing Land Uses ..... 3.4-5

Figure 3.4-2. Economic Analysis Primary and Secondary Study Areas..... 3.4-7

Figure 3.4-3. Existing Land Uses – Southern Portion of the Secondary Study Area ..... 3.4-8

Figure 3.4-4. Existing Land Uses in North Portland, Hayden Island, and the Columbia River ..... 3.4-9

Figure 3.4-5. Existing Land Uses in Downtown Vancouver ..... 3.4-11

Figure 3.4-6. Existing Land Uses in North Vancouver and Clark County..... 3.4-12

#### 3.5 Neighborhoods and Equity

Figure 3.5-1. Neighborhoods in the Study Area..... 3.5-7

Figure 3.5-2. Screenshot of IBR Equity Index..... 3.5-14

### 3.6 Public Services and Utilities

Figure 3.6-1. Public Services in North Portland and Hayden Island.....	3.6-3
Figure 3.6-2. Public Services in Downtown and Upper Vancouver.....	3.6-4

### 3.7 Parks and Recreation

Figure 3.7-1. Parks and Recreation Facilities in the Study Area .....	3.7-3
Figure 3.7-2. Fort Vancouver National Historic Site – Comparison of Modified LPA and Design Options.....	3.7-18

### 3.8 Cultural Resources

Figure 3.8-1. Area of Potential Effects.....	3.8-7
Figure 3.8-2. Oregon Historic Built Environment Resources.....	3.8-16
Figure 3.8-3. Interstate Historic Built Environment Resources .....	3.8-18
Figure 3.8-4. Washington Historic Built Environment Resources – South.....	3.8-23
Figure 3.8-5. Washington Historic Built Environment Resources – North.....	3.8-24
Figure 3.8-6. Design Options Comparison – Normandy Apartments (WA 149) .....	3.8-28
Figure 3.8-7. Design Options Comparison – Vancouver National Historic Reserve Historic District (WA 1357), South .....	3.8-29
Figure 3.8-8. Design Options Comparison – Vancouver National Historic Reserve Historic District (WA 1357), North.....	3.8-30

### 3.9 Visual Quality

Figure 3.9-1. Area of Visual Effect and Landscape Units.....	3.9-3
Figure 3.9-2. Key Viewpoints Used in the Visual Quality Analysis.....	3.9-5
Figure 3.9-3. Existing Conditions Photograph and Conceptual Photographic Simulation (N Marine Drive Looking Northwest at Pedestrian Trails) .....	3.9-9
Figure 3.9-4. Existing Conditions Photograph and Conceptual Photographic Simulations (Columbia River Bridges).....	3.9-13
Figure 3.9-5. KVP 15: Existing Conditions Photograph and Conceptual Photographic Simulation (Columbia River Bridge northbound lane looking north to Vancouver) .....	3.9-14
Figure 3.9-6. Existing Conditions Photograph and Conceptual Photographic Simulation (6th Street).....	3.9-17
Figure 3.9-7. KVP 23: Existing Conditions Photograph and Conceptual Photographic Simulation – SR 14 without I-5 C Street Ramps (Fort Vancouver National Historic Site) .....	3.9-20
Figure 3.9-8. Existing Conditions Photograph and Conceptual Photographic Simulations (Fort Vancouver) National Historic Site, Southwest Corner).....	3.9-21
Figure 3.9-9. Existing Conditions and Conceptual Photographic Simulation (39th Street Overpass Looking South) .....	3.9-23

### 3.10 Air Quality

Figure 3.10-1. Air Quality Study Area ..... 3.10-3  
 Figure 3.10-2. Roadway Emissions Analysis Network..... 3.10-5

### 3.11 Noise and Vibration

Figure 3.11-1. Typical Community Noise Levels (in  $L_{dn}$ )..... 3.11-3  
 Figure 3.11-2. Typical Noise Source and Human Perception of Sound Levels ..... 3.11-4  
 Figure 3.11-3. Federal Transit Administration Transit Noise Impact Criteria ..... 3.11-7  
 Figure 3.11-4. Human and Building Response to Ground-Borne Vibration Levels..... 3.11-8  
 Figure 3.11-5. Modified LPA with Double-Deck Fixed-Span Configuration and One Auxiliary Lane –  
 2045 Traffic Noise Impacts – Portland Mainland ..... 3.11-16  
 Figure 3.11-6. Modified LPA with Double-Deck Fixed-Span Configuration and One Auxiliary Lane –  
 2045 Traffic Noise Impacts – Portland/Hayden Island..... 3.11-17  
 Figure 3.11-7. Modified LPA with Double-Deck Bridge Fixed-Span Configuration and One Auxiliary  
 Lane – 2045 Traffic Noise Impacts – I-5/SR 14 Interchange..... 3.11-18  
 Figure 3.11-8. Modified LPA with Double-Deck Fixed-Span Configuration and One Auxiliary Lane –  
 2045 Traffic Noise Impacts – E 8th Street to McLoughlin Boulevard..... 3.11-19  
 Figure 3.11-9. Modified LPA with Double-Deck Fixed-Span Configuration and One Auxiliary Lane –  
 2045 Traffic Noise Impacts – McLoughlin Boulevard to E 30th Street..... 3.11-20  
 Figure 3.11-10. Modified LPA with Double-Deck Fixed-Span Configuration and One Auxiliary Lane –  
 2045 Traffic Noise Impacts – E 30th Street to E 39th Street ..... 3.11-21  
 Figure 3.11-11. Modified LPA with Double-Deck Fixed-Span Configuration and One Auxiliary Lane –  
 2045 Traffic Noise Impacts – E 39th Street to Terminus ..... 3.11-22  
 Figure 3.11-12. Downtown Vancouver Transit Noise and Vibration Analysis and Impacts ..... 3.11-30  
 Figure 3.11-13. Noise Level vs. Distance for Typical Construction Phases..... 3.11-34  
 Figure 3.11-14. Modified LPA with Double-Deck Fixed-Span Configuration and One Auxiliary Lane –  
 2045 Evaluated Noise Walls – Portland Mainland ..... 3.11-39  
 Figure 3.11-15. Modified LPA with Double-Deck Fixed-Span Configuration and One Auxiliary Lane –  
 2045 Evaluated Noise Walls – Portland/Hayden Island ..... 3.11-40  
 Figure 3.11-16. Modified LPA with Double-Deck Fixed-Span Configuration and One Auxiliary Lane –  
 2045 Evaluated Noise Walls – I-5 / SR 14 Interchange..... 3.11-41  
 Figure 3.11-17. Modified LPA with Double-Deck Fixed-Span Configuration and One Auxiliary Lane –  
 2045 Evaluated Noise Walls – E 8th Street to McLoughlin Boulevard ..... 3.11-42  
 Figure 3.11-18. Modified LPA with Double-Deck Fixed-Span Configuration and One Auxiliary Lane –  
 2045 Evaluated Noise Walls – McLoughlin Boulevard to E 30th Street ..... 3.11-43  
 Figure 3.11-19. Modified LPA with Double-Deck Bridge Fixed-Span Configuration and One Auxiliary  
 Lane – 2045 Evaluated Noise Walls – E 30th Street to E 39th Street..... 3.11-44  
 Figure 3.11-20. Modified LPA with Double-Deck Bridge Fixed-Span Configuration and One Auxiliary  
 Lane – 2045 Evaluated Noise Walls – E 39th Street to Northern Terminus..... 3.11-45

Figure 3.11-21. Light-Rail Noise and Vibration Impacts Post-Mitigation ..... 3.11-47

**3.12 Energy**

Figure 3.12-1. Energy Study Area and Traffic Assignment Area..... 3.12-3

Figure 3.12-2. State Energy Consumption by End-Use Sector, 2020..... 3.12-4

**3.13 Electric and Magnetic Fields**

Figure 3.13-1. Modified LPA Proposed Light-Rail Substations and Existing Land Uses..... 3.13-5

**3.14 Water Quality and Hydrology**

Figure 3.14-1. Water Quality and Hydrology Study Area and Study-Specific Watersheds ..... 3.14-3

Figure 3.14-2. Federal Emergency Management Agency Floodplain Boundaries in the Study Area..... 3.14-5

**3.15 Wetlands and Other Waters**

Figure 3.15-1. Wetlands and Other Waters Study Area ..... 3.15-3

Figure 3.15-2. Field-Identified Wetlands and Other Waters - Oregon ..... 3.15-5

Figure 3.15-3. Field-Identified Wetlands and Other Waters - Washington ..... 3.15-7

Figure 3.15-4. Modified LPA Filling or Reduction of Wetlands and Other Waters – Oregon ..... 3.15-11

Figure 3.15-5. Modified LPA Filling or Reduction of Wetlands and Other Waters – Washington..... 3.15-12

**3.16 Ecosystems**

Figure 3.16-1. Ecosystems Primary Study Area ..... 3.16-5

Figure 3.16-2. Ecosystems Secondary Study Area ..... 3.16-6

Figure 3.16-3. Habitat Classifications within the Primary and Secondary Study Areas..... 3.16-13

**3.17 Geology and Groundwater**

Figure 3.17-1. Geology and Groundwater Study Area..... 3.17-2

**3.18 Hazardous Materials**

Figure 3.18-1. Overview of Phase I ESA Locations and RECs in the Study Area ..... 3.18-4

Figure 3.18-2. Phase I ESA Locations and RECs – Map 1 ..... 3.18-5

Figure 3.18-3. Phase I ESA Locations and RECs – Map 2 ..... 3.18-6

Figure 3.18-4. Phase I ESA Locations and RECs – Map 3 ..... 3.18-7

Figure 3.18-5. Phase I ESA Locations and RECs – Map 4 ..... 3.18-8

Figure 3.18-6. Phase I ESA Locations and RECs – Map 5 ..... 3.18-9

Figure 3.18-7. Phase I ESA Locations and RECs – Map 6 ..... 3.18-10

Figure 3.18-8. Phase I ESA Locations and RECs – Map 7 ..... 3.18-11

Figure 3.18-9. Phase I ESA Locations and RECs – Map 8 ..... 3.18-12

### 3.19 Climate Change

Figure 3.19-1. Projected Average Temperature Changes over the Next 80 Years..... 3.19-5  
Figure 3.19-2. Sources of Greenhouse Gas Emissions from Construction (EPA) ..... 3.19-10

### 3.20 Environmental Justice

Figure 3.20-1. Environmental Justice Study Area ..... 3.20-6  
Figure 3.20-2. Percent Minority by Block Group in the IBR Study Area..... 3.20-9  
Figure 3.20-3. Percent Low-Income by Block Group in the IBR Study Area..... 3.20-11  
Figure 3.20-4. Neighborhoods in the IBR Study Area..... 3.20-15  
Figure 3.20-5. Meaningfully Greater and High-Priority Minority Block Groups and Study Area  
Neighborhoods..... 3.20-16  
Figure 3.20-6. Meaningfully Greater and High-Priority Low-Income Block Groups and Study Area  
Neighborhoods..... 3.20-17

### 3.21 Section 6(f) and Federal Lands to Parks

Figure 3.21-1. Section 6(f) and FLP Program Resources in the Study Area ..... 3.21-3  
Figure 3.21-2. East Delta Park Section 6(f) and FLP Program Boundaries ..... 3.21-6  
Figure 3.21-3. Old Apple Tree Park FLP Program Boundaries ..... 3.21-7  
Figure 3.21-4. Marshall Community Center, Luepke Senior Center, and Marshall Park FLP Program  
Boundaries..... 3.21-8  
Figure 3.21-5. Burnt Bridge Creek Trail ..... 3.21-9

### 3.22 Aviation

Figure 3.22-1. Typical Civil Airport Protected Airspaces..... 3.22-3  
Figure 3.22-2. Pearson Field Protected Airspace – Existing Bridge Penetrations..... 3.22-4  
Figure 3.22-3. Locations for Low-Profile Signs and Lights on Modified LPA with Double-Deck Fixed-  
Span Configuration (Profile View) ..... 3.22-5  
Figure 3.22-4. Locations for Low-Profile Signs and Lights on Modified LPA with Double-Deck Fixed-  
Span Configuration (Plan View)..... 3.22-6  
Figure 3.22-5. Pearson Field Protected Airspace – Modified LPA with Single-Level Movable-Span  
Configuration Intrusion ..... 3.22-6

### 3.23 Cumulative Impacts

No figures.

## 4. Draft Section 4(f) Evaluation

Figure 4-1. Section 4(f) Park and Recreation Properties: IBR Program Study Area..... 4-11  
Figure 4-2. Section 4(f) Park and Recreation Properties: Oregon..... 4-12  
Figure 4-3. Section 4(f) Park and Recreation Properties: Fort Vancouver National Historic Site ..... 4-13

Figure 4-4. Section 4(f) Park and Recreation Properties: Mill Plain Boulevard to Fourth Plain Boulevard..... 4-14

Figure 4-5. Section 4(f) Park and Recreation Properties: North of Fourth Plain Boulevard ..... 4-15

Figure 4-6. Kiggins Bowl Sports Fields/Stadium Modified LPA with Design Options ..... 4-18

Figure 4-7. Summary of Section 4(f) Historic Sites in the IBR Program Study Area – Oregon..... 4-19

Figure 4-8. Summary of Section 4(f) Historic Sites in the IBR Program Study Area – Downtown Vancouver ..... 4-20

Figure 4-9. Summary of Section 4(f) Historic Sites in the IBR Program Study Area – Upper Vancouver ..... 4-21

Figure 4-10. IBR Program Improvements and Area of Permanent Incorporation for the Southbound Interstate Bridge ..... 4-27

Figure 4-11. East Delta Park..... 4-34

Figure 4-12. Vancouver Landing at Terminal 1 ..... 4-37

Figure 4-13. Vancouver Waterfront Park ..... 4-41

Figure 4-14. Clark College Recreation Fields Modified LPA with All Design Options..... 4-42

Figure 4-15. Leverich Community Park and Burnt Bridge Creek Trail ..... 4-46

Figure 4-16. Arnada Neighborhood Park..... 4-48

Figure 4-17. Fort Vancouver National Historic Site - Visual Change Comparison between Existing Conditions and the Modified LPA ..... 4-56

Figure 4-18. Fort Vancouver National Historic Site – Comparison of Modified LPA Design Options... 4-58

Figure 4-19. Old Apple Tree Park..... 4-59

Figure 4-20. Marshall Community Center, Luepke Senior Center, and Marshall Park – Modified LPA with All Design Options ..... 4-62

Figure 4-21. IBR Program Improvements and Area of Permanent Incorporation for the Harbor Shops Building ..... 4-70

Figure 4-22. IBR Program Improvements and Area of Permanent Incorporation for the Jantzen Beach Water Tower and Pump House ..... 4-71

Figure 4-23. Aerial Map of Jantzen Beach Moorage (1501, 1525-2055 North Jantzen Avenue), Showing Boundary in Red..... 4-73

Figure 4-24. IBR Program Improvements and Area of Permanent Incorporation for the Columbia Slough Drainage Districts Historic District ..... 4-75

Figure 4-25. IBR Program Improvements and Area of Permanent Incorporation for the Columbia Slough Drainage Districts Historic District ..... 4-76

Figure 4-26. IBR Program Improvements and Area of Permanent Incorporation for the Portland Assembly Center..... 4-79

Figure 4-27. Aerial Map of the Lower Columbia River Federal Navigation Channel ..... 4-81

Figure 4-28. Existing and Proposed Channel Routes within the Lower Columbia River Federal Navigation Channel ..... 4-82

**Interstate Bridge Replacement Program**

Figure 4-29. Upper Vancouver Turning Basin ..... 4-82

Figure 4-30. IBR Program Improvements and Area of Permanent Incorporation for the Northbound Interstate Bridge ..... 4-84

Figure 4-31. IBR Program Improvements and Area of Permanent Incorporation for 2901 K Street.... 4-87

Figure 4-32. IBR Program Improvements near 901 E 29th Street..... 4-90

Figure 4-33. IBR Program Improvements and Area of Permanent Incorporation for House of Providence ..... 4-93

Figure 4-34. IBR Program Improvements in Relation to the Evergreen Hotel ..... 4-97

Figure 4-35. IBR Program Improvements in Relation to the Who Song & Larry’s Restaurant..... 4-100

Figure 4-36. IBR Program Modified LPA Improvements in Relation to the Normandy Apartments.. 4-103

Figure 4-37. IBR Program Modified LPA with I-5 Shifted West Design Option in Relation to the Normandy Apartments..... 4-104

Figure 4-38. IBR Program Modified LPA Improvements in Relation to the Columbia Street Bridge Substation..... 4-107

Figure 4-39. IBR Program Modified LPA Improvements in Relation to the VNHR Historic District .... 4-109

Figure 4-40. IBR Program Improvements and Area of Permanent Incorporation for the Washington State Patrol District Five Headquarters..... 4-115

Figure 4-41. Alternative Corridors Evaluated during the CRC Initial Screening Process ..... 4-118



## ABBREVIATIONS AND ACRONYMS

Abbreviation/Acronym	Definition
AASHTO	American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials
AC	alternating current
ACM	asbestos-containing material
ADA	Americans with Disabilities Act
APE	Area of Potential Effect
AVE	Area of Visual Effect
AWDT	Average weekday daily traffic
BIPOC	Black Indigenous, and People of Color
BLTS	Bike Level of Traffic Stress
BMP	best management practice
BRT	bus rapid transit
CD	collector-distributor
CEQ	Council on Environmental Quality
CESCL	certified erosion and sediment control lead
CFR	Code of Federal Regulations
CIA	contributing impervious area
CMMP	contaminated media management plan
CRC	Columbia River Crossing
CRD	Columbia River Datum
CSZ	Cascadia Subduction Zone
C-TRAN	Clark County Public Transit Benefit Area Authority
CWA	Clean Water Act
DAHP	Washington Department of Archaeology and Historic Preservation

Abbreviation/Acronym	Definition
dB	decibels
dBA	A-weighted decibel
DC	direct current
DDE 4,4'	dichlorodiphenyldichloroethylene
DDT	dichlorodiphenyltrichloroethane
DEQ	Oregon Department of Environmental Quality
DOI	U.S. Department of the Interior
DOT	Department of Transportation
Ecology	Washington State Department of Ecology
EIS	Environmental Impact Statement
EJ	environmental justice
EMF	electromagnetic field
EPA	U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
ESA	Endangered Species Act
ESC	erosion and spill control
ESCP	erosion and sediment control plan
ESHB	Engrossed Substitute House Bill
EV	Electric vehicle
FAA	Federal Aviation Administration
FEMA	Federal Emergency Management Agency
FHWA	Federal Highway Administration
FLP	Federal Lands to Parks
FOE	Finding of Effect
FR	Federal Register
FRA	Federal Railroad Administration

Abbreviation/Acronym	Definition
FTA	Federal Transit Administration
FY	fiscal year
G	gauss
GHG	greenhouse gas
GIS	geographical information system
GSA	General Services Administration
HBC	Hudson's Bay Company
HBE	historic built environment
HNC	horizontal navigation clearance
HPRCSIT	historic properties of religious and cultural significance to Indian tribes
Hz	hertz
I-205	Interstate 205
I-5	Interstate 5
IBR	Interstate Bridge Replacement
ICNIRP	International Commission on Non-Ionizing Radiation Protection
in/sec	inches per second
kV/m	kilovolts per meter
KVP	key viewpoint
Landing	Vancouver Landing at Terminal 1
LCR FNC	Lower Columbia River Federal Navigation Channel
LCR NAV Historic District	Lower Columbia River Federal Navigation Historic District
LCRWT	Lower Columbia River Water Trail
LOS	Level of service
LPA	Locally Preferred Alternative
LRFD	load-and-resistance factor design

Abbreviation/Acronym	Definition
LRT	light-rail transit
LRV	light-rail vehicle
LU	landscape unit
LUST	leaking underground storage tank
LWCF	Land and Water Conservation Fund
MAX	Metropolitan Area Express
MBTA	Migratory Bird Treaty Act
Metro	Oregon Metro
mG	milligauss
mm	millimeters
MOA	memorandum of agreement
MOU	memorandum of understanding
MOVES	MOTOR Vehicle Emissions Simulator
mph	miles per hour
MSA	Metropolitan Statistical Area
MSAT	mobile source air toxics
MT	metric tons
NAAQS	National Ambient Air Quality Standards
NAC	noise abatement criteria
NAICS	North American Industry Classification System
NCO	non-commissioned officer
NEPA	National Environmental Policy Act
NHPA	National Historic Preservation Act
NHS	National Historic Site

Abbreviation/Acronym	Definition
NOAA Fisheries	National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration National Marine Fisheries Service
NPDES	National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System
NPS	National Park Service
NRHP	National Register of Historic Places
NRI	Natural Resource Inventory
OAR	Oregon Administrative Rules
OCS	Obstacle Clearance Surface
ODFW	Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife
ODOT	Oregon Department of Transportation
OHWM	ordinary high water mark
OPRD	Oregon Parks and Recreation Department
ORS	Oregon Revised Statute
OTC	Oregon Transportation Commission
PA	programmatic agreement
PAH	polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon
PCB	polychlorinated biphenyl
PCC	Portland City Code
PCP	pollution control plan
PDX	Portland International Airport
PM	particulate matter
PMSA	Primary Metropolitan Statistical Area
PP&R	Portland Parks and Recreation
PRM	permittee responsible mitigation
RCO	Recreation and Conservation Office

Abbreviation/Acronym	Definition
RCP	Representative Concentration Pathway
RCW	Revised Code of Washington
RM	river mile
RMPP	Regional Mobility Pricing Project
ROD	Record of Decision
RTC	Southwest Washington Regional Transportation Council
RTP	Regional Transportation Plan
SAFETEA-LU	Safe, Accountable, Flexible, Efficient Transportation Equity Act: A Legacy for Users
Section 4(f)	Section 4(f) of the U.S. Department of Transportation Act
Section 6(f)	Section 6(f) of the federal Land and Water Conservation Fund Act
SEIS	Supplemental Environmental Impact Statement
SHPO	State Historic Preservation Office
SIP	State Implementation Plan
SMSA	Standard Metropolitan Statistical Area
SOI	species of interest
SPCC	spill prevention control and countermeasure
SR	State Route
SRKW	Southern Resident killer whale
SSA	Sole source aquifer
SWPPP	Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan
TCM	transportation control measure
TCP	traditional cultural property
TDA	tire derived aggregate
TDM	transportation demand management

Abbreviation/Acronym	Definition
TMDL	Total Maximum Daily Load
TMP	Transportation Management Plan
TriMet	Tri-County Metropolitan Transportation District of Oregon
TSM	transportation system management
Uniform Act	Uniform Relocation Assistance and Real Property Acquisition Policies Act of 1970 (as amended)
USACE	U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
USC	U.S. Code
USCG	U.S. Coast Guard
USDOT	U.S. Department of Transportation
USFWS	U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
V/C	volume-to-capacity
V/m	volts per meter
VHD	Vehicle hours of delay
VHT	Vehicle hours of travel
VMC	Vancouver Municipal Code
VMT	Vehicle miles traveled
VNC	vertical navigation clearance
VNHR	Vancouver National Historic Reserve
VPR&C	Vancouver Parks, Recreation and Cultural Services
WAC	Washington Administrative Code
WDFW	Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
WQPMP	Water Quality Protection and Monitoring Plan
WSDOT	Washington State Department of Transportation
WSP	Washington State Patrol

Abbreviation/Acronym	Definition
WSTC	Washington State Transportation Commission
ZEV	Zero Emission Vehicle